



1 DECLARATION OF OPENING AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

Due to the Chairman and Deputy Chairman being apologies for the meeting, the acting Chief Executive Officer advised that a Chairman needed to be sworn in for this meeting. Mr Ken Goldsworthy was nominated as acting Chairman.

The Chairman opened the meeting at 3.45pm.

2 ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED

Committee Members

Mr Ken Goldsworthy	Manager, Health & Environmental Services	Town of Bassendean
Mr Ric Lutey	Director Technical Services	City of Belmont
Mr Adrian Dyson	Manager Health Services	Shire of Mundaring
Mr Colin Pumphrey	Manager Transport and Waste	City of Swan
Mr Peter Schneider	Acting Chief Executive Officer	EMRC

Apologies

Mr Simon Stewert-Dawkins	Director Operational Services	Town of Bassendean
Mr Martyn Glover	Director Technical Services	City of Bayswater
Mr Mahesh Singh	Executive Manager, Engineering Services	Shire of Kalamunda
Mr Shane Purdy	Executive Manager, Infrastructure Services	Shire of Mundaring
Mr Jim Coten	Executive Manager, Operational and	City of Swan
Mr Gavin Watters	Chief Executive Officer	EMRC

EMRC Officers

Mr Adam Johnson	Executive Manager, Waste Management Services
Mr Mick McCarthy	Executive Manager, Regional Services
Mr Stephen Fitzpatrick	Manager, Project Development
Ms Mary-Ann Winnett	Agenda Minutes Officer (Observer)
Ms Jo Eva	Administration Officer (Minutes)

EMRC Apologies

Mr Rob Medbury	Manager, Risk Management Service
----------------	----------------------------------

Deputy Committee Members - Observers

Mr Kevin Davidson	Manager, Health and Ranger Services	City of Belmont
-------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------

Apologies

Mr James Riley	Manager Environmental Health	City of Bayswater
Mr Jonathon Smith	Manager Health Services	Shire of Kalamunda

Observer(s)

Ms Lesley Thomas	Manager, Environmental Services	EMRC
------------------	---------------------------------	------

3 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

Nil

4 ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE CHAIRMAN OR PERSON PRESIDING WITHOUT DISCUSSION

Nil



5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

5.1 MINUTES OF TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 7 FEBRUARY 2008

That the Minutes of the Technical Advisory Committee meeting held on 7 February 2008, which have been distributed, be confirmed.

TAC RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR SCHNEIDER

THAT THE MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 7 FEBRUARY 2008, WHICH HAVE BEEN DISTRIBUTED, BE CONFIRMED.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6 PRESENTATIONS

Nil

7 ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

NOTE: Section 5.23(2) of the Local Government Act 1995, details a number of matters upon which Council may discuss and make decisions without members of the public being present. These matters include: matters affecting employees; personal affairs of any person; contractual matters; legal advice; commercial-in-confidence matters; security matters; among others.

7.1 INVESTIGATIVE STUDIES FOR RED HILL WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-7583

See Item 10.1 for details

This item is recommended to be confidential because it contains matters of legal advice.

8 BUSINESS NOT DEALT WITH FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING

Nil



9 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 NEW CHARGE FOR VEHICLES REQUIRING SPECIAL ACCESS TO THE LANDFILL FACE

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-7544

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of the report is to seek Council approval of a new charge for general waste vehicles requiring special access to the landfill face.

KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- The tipping area for general waste at the Red Hill Waste Management Facility is currently operating at or near full capacity, and requires trucks to turn-around quickly for smooth operations.
- A number of customers use vehicles for waste delivery that take in excess of 10 minutes to unload, or require the face to be cleared of all customers because the unloading truck is at risk of toppling. These vehicles include semi-trailer tippers, "walking floor" semi-trailers and hand unloading of trucks.
- As a result of the less than optimal operating conditions caused by waste delivered in these vehicles, compaction densities are affected causing negative financial impacts on the EMRC.

Recommendation(s)

By an absolute majority, in accordance with section 6.16 of the Local Government Act 1995, the EMRC impose a fee of \$77.00 per tonne (inc. GST) for vehicles containing general waste and requiring special access to the landfill face, with effect from Monday 7 April 2008.

SOURCE OF REPORT

Executive Manager, Waste Management Services

BACKGROUND

About two thirds of the waste disposed of at the Red Hill Waste Management Facility comes from sources other than member Councils.

REPORT

A significant amount of the waste disposed of at the Red Hill Waste Management Facility is delivered in large semi-trailers which can, if not appropriately configured, take a substantial amount of time to unload, or require the area in the vicinity of the truck to be vacated to guard against injury in the event that the truck topples during tipping.

The size of the landfill face is constrained by a condition on the Department of Environment and Conservation licence for the facility. As a result, where a truck requires special access to the landfill face, the access of other trucks to the landfill face is restricted and the EMRC may potentially lose income from inefficient operating conditions and sub-optimal compaction densities. Restricting access to the landfill face can also impact on the efficiency of member Council waste operations, as their trucks may be required to queue to unload.



Item 9.1 continued

Observation of typical waste trucks indicates that they will take less than five minutes to unload. Trucks requiring special landfill face access can take anywhere from fifteen minutes to over an hour to unload, and place pressure on landfill face operations through congestion of the tipping area. This has been demonstrated to lead to much lower compaction efficiency, and the recent addition of substantial volumes of waste from such trucks has led to the landfilled waste density dropping immediately from a typical 0.944 tonnes/m³ to 0.742 tonnes/m³, and this reduced density being sustained over a six month period. This means that 0.288 m³ more airspace is consumed for every tonne of waste received.

At the current landfill disposal fee of \$54.00/tonne (before landfill levy and GST), the landfill would receive \$50.98 of revenue for each cubic metre of landfill filled where a density of 0.944 tonnes/m³ is achieved. At a density of 0.742 tonnes/m³, the revenue is reduced to \$40.07 per cubic metre.

A new fee is proposed to recoup the lost income. To achieve the same revenue per cubic metre at the lower density, the price would need to be increased to \$68.00/tonne (before landfill levy and GST). Given that any price increase will change the congestion patterns by diverting price sensitive waste from the site, the waste density is expected to increase with the new fee. As a result, it is proposed to establish the fee at \$64.00/tonne (before landfill levy and GST) to allow for the increase in overall waste density.

The new fee will be applied to all trucks that:

1. Typically take longer than ten minutes to unload from the time at which the driver has been signalled that he may commence unloading by the EMRC Traffic Controller, to the time when the truck is safe to drive from the face;
2. Require a space around them that is in excess of what would be required for typical operations; or
3. Are unloaded by hand.

Time taken to clean out residual waste is not included in the unloading time, and trucks that take close to ten minutes will be assessed over an average of three deliveries.

The most significant customers likely to be impacted by this proposal are:

1. West Australian Landfill Services (WALS). Up to 60,000 tonnes per year is being delivered to Red Hill from WALS in walking floor semi-trailers, with these loads starting in about July 2007. The WALS deliveries are expected until the landfill new cell at their South Cardup landfill is complete. Construction is anticipated to be complete in about April or May 2009. The new fee may result in WALS deciding to send their trucks to an alternate landfill.
2. Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC). WMRC trucks can take in excess of ten minutes to unload. Since the fee for all municipal waste from the WMRC is set under an Agreement between the WMRC and the EMRC, and is to be equivalent to the fee for member Councils. About half of all waste from the WMRC is covered by this Agreement and is thus not affected by the proposed special access fee. The remainder is commercial waste and subject to the proposed fee. The response of the WMRC cannot be easily determined, as their municipal waste is likely to continue coming to Red Hill, but a proportion of their commercial waste may be diverted to an alternate site.
3. Transpacific Cleanaway – a semi-trailer tipper from the Bayswater Transfer Station. Cleanaway was asked to replace this vehicle in a letter dated 14 November 2007 because of the hazard it presented from toppling. No action has been taken by Cleanaway to date. The proposed special access fee may lead to Cleanaway replacing the vehicle.

The proposed fee will not impact upon member Council truck unloading, because all trucks unload mechanically and take significantly less than ten minutes to unload. Furthermore, where the Cleanaway semi-trailer tipper is delivering waste from the Cities of Bayswater and Belmont, the fee would not be applied.



Item 9.1 continued

STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The efficient turnaround of trucks at the landfill face improves landfill operations and reduces operating costs for member Council waste services. Imposing a special access fee improves the financial viability of member Councils and the EMRC.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

With the introduction of the new fee, it is possible that WALs may dispose of waste at an alternate landfill. In the event that WALs sends all waste to an alternate landfill, the revenue at Red Hill for the 2007/2008 financial year would decline by \$540,000.00. Since this waste is only temporarily coming to Red Hill, this will not have long term sustained impacts.

The primary financial benefit is realised through improving landfill compaction. The extra airspace consumed through a lack of proper compaction (due in large part to the WALs waste) of the tonnage that might be diverted by WALs for the remainder of 2007/2008 costs the EMRC \$120,000 to develop. If filled with waste that is properly compacted, that airspace is worth \$896,000.00. As a result, the net financial benefit from better utilised airspace is \$356,000.00, provided landfill compaction is improved with the diversion of the WALs waste.

Alternatively, in the event that WALs continues to use Red Hill, the EMRC would be compensated for the extra airspace consumed.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The efficient turnaround of trucks enables the landfill to be used optimally, and reduces truck waiting time. Furthermore, removing trucks from site that cause congestion at the face enables the landfill to be better compacted, and thus reduces the need to develop new landfill airspace.

MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS

Member Council	Implication Details
Town of Bassendean	} Improved municipal waste truck turnaround at Red Hill
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	

ATTACHMENT(S)

Nil

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Absolute Majority



Item 9.1 continued

RECOMMENDATION(S)

By an absolute majority, in accordance with section 6.16 of the Local Government Act 1995, the EMRC impose a fee of \$77.00 per tonne (inc. GST) for vehicles containing general waste and requiring special access to the landfill face, with effect from Monday 7 April 2008.

Discussion ensued

Mr Lutey asked if charging more for loads taking longer to unload on the landfill face would have any effect on customers. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services said it probably would, as customers are very price sensitive.

Mr Goldsworthy questioned if there is a procedure in place to police the new charges. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services said there is a Traffic controller on site to enforce it. Mr Goldsworthy questioned how the staff at Red Hill would tell how long trucks take to unload when they arrive at the weighbridge. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services said they would be noted as requiring special landfill access based on past experience on site.

TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR PUMPHREY

By an absolute majority, in accordance with section 6.16 of the Local Government Act 1995, the EMRC impose a fee of \$76.00 per tonne for vehicles containing general waste and requiring special access to the landfill face following fees, with effect from Monday 3 March 2008.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCIL RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED CR GODFREY

SECONDED CR ZANNINO

BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 6.16 OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1995, THE EMRC IMPOSE A FEE OF \$76.00 PER TONNE FOR VEHICLES CONTAINING GENERAL WASTE AND REQUIRING SPECIAL ACCESS TO THE LANDFILL FACE FOLLOWING FEES, WITH EFFECT FROM MONDAY 3 MARCH 2008.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

12/0



9.2 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CONSULTING FEES 2008/2009

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-7406

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide member Councils with draft environmental consulting rates proposed for 2008/2009.

KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- The environmental consulting rates have evolved over time to meet the needs of the member Councils by providing a mix of rates based on the diversity of tasks and experience required to fulfil consulting activities.
- The rates have consistently been well below private sector consultancy rates (at least 50% less) and have only increased each year in accordance with CPI or marginally above CPI (average 4 - 7% per year).
- In 2007, the proposed fees for 2007-2008 were assessed against actual costs for staff and a set percentage for overhead recovery (30%).
- The most commonly utilised Consultant Officer rates for member Councils are proposed to increase to \$60 per hour, an increase of \$2 or 3.45% per hour, with the proposed rates for the Manager of the Service increasing by 4.00% and the Executive Manager by 3.53% to \$78 and \$88 per hour respectively. Technical Officer charge-out rates are proposed to be increased by 4.54% to \$46 per hour.
- It is noted that the Consulting Officer rate had not been increased since 2006-2007.
- All Environmental Service staff are allocated to one of the five levels within the fee structure to facilitate officer costs to be incorporated into funding applications and possible consultancy roles.
- It is not anticipated that the proposed consulting rates for 2008/2009 will impact on member Council budgets as rises for some roles will be offset by lower or static charges for other roles.
- It is proposed to increase environmental consulting rates to other clients by an average of 9-11% per hour in 2008/2009, however this is a very minor component of the consulting work load and there is no work identified for external clients at this time.
- Internal (EMRC) consulting rates for 2008/2009, are proposed to be increased between 3.15% and 5.0%.
- The proposed consulting rates will be used to develop the draft 2008/2009 budget.

Recommendation(s)

That the Draft Environmental Services Consulting Rates forming attachment 1 to this report be used to develop the draft 2008/2009 budget and be referred to member Councils for consideration during the development of their 2008/2009 budgets.

SOURCE OF REPORT

Manager Environmental Services



Item 9.2 continued

BACKGROUND

Environmental Services consulting rates are reviewed on an annual basis as part of the preparation of the annual budget. Proposed rates are distributed to member Councils for review and comment to assist in the preparation of member Council budgets.

The environmental consulting rates have evolved over time to meet the needs of the member Councils by providing a mix of rates based on the diversity of tasks and experience required to fulfil consulting activities. The rates have consistently been well below private sector consultancy rates (around 50% less) and have only increased each year in accordance with CPI or marginally above CPI (average 4 - 7% per year). It is noted that the recent economic boom in WA has seen many environmental consultancies raise their fees considerably over the past two to three years.

There was no increase in member Council consulting rates from 2003/2004 to 2004/2005, and an average increase of 6% from 2004/2005 to 2005/2006. In 2006/2007 rates for member Councils increased by two dollars for most categories of consulting staff and for internal (EMRC) Consulting and External Clients, between two dollars and five dollars per hour.

REPORT

Officers at the EMRC recognise the importance of maintaining reasonable charge out rates for member Councils to maintain the current levels of utilisation and to be in line with member Council budget expectations.

The proposed consulting fees for 2008/2009 are based around three levels of consultant in addition to Manager and Executive Manager. It is expected that most consulting activities would be undertaken by the Consultants, Senior Consultants and Technical Officers, which will provide a cost-effective service for member Councils.

Rates were reviewed in detail for 2007/2008, being measured against actual current staff and overhead costs to ensure that the rates proposed cover these costs whilst also meeting member Council expectations. This included the full cost to the EMRC of each staff member in each level of consultancy and included a standard allocation of 30% for overhead recovery. This resulted in increases of between 0.0% and 7.1% for member Council rates and between 0.0% and 5.5% for internal (EMRC) consulting rates. External rates were raised by at least 30% to reflect higher commercial consulting rates.

For 2008/2009, all member Council and internal (EMRC) charge out rates are proposed to be increased by approximately the CPI (4%), rounded to the nearest whole dollar. External charge-out rates are proposed to be increased by approximately 10%, again to reflect higher commercial rates. Currently, compared to these rates, the proposed member Council consulting rates represent a 46-60% discount, and the proposed EMRC rates a 40-50% discount.

Other changes during the past year include the increasingly complex funding environment for major projects, particularly the Eastern Hills Catchment Management Program and the Local Government Natural Resource Management (NRM) Policy Project. One outcome of this complexity is an increasing likelihood that some components of these projects may be undertaken on a consulting basis for external funding bodies. On this basis, each project staff member is now allocated to a consultant category so that project staff can calculate costs for funding applications or may take on consulting roles when appropriate.

Charge-out rates for the EMRC are slightly higher than member Council rates as a strategy to achieve cost recovery for the service and to maintain member Council rates at highly competitive levels that encourage utilisation.



Item 9.2 continued

In recent years environmental consulting rates at an industry level have risen markedly. The rates for external consulting are proposed to increase substantially in line with industry increases to ensure that the service remains competitive, with opportunity for increased cost recovery for work undertaken for external clients. This external consulting role would only be undertaken where there are wider benefits to the region (e.g. emerging disciplines, new techniques, extending skill base etc) and there is no risk of impacting on member Council and partner funding body commitments. As there is very little external consulting undertaken at this stage, this is not expected to substantially affect the Service's budget forecasts.

The proposed consulting rates will be used to develop the draft 2008/2009 budget. The EMRC Environmental Service is committed to align its consulting service and regional project delivery to meet member Council needs as the highest priority.

STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Adoption of the draft consulting rates for the preparation of the draft 2008/2009 budget will contribute toward the enabler objective of "Continue to improve corporate financial management" and the core process objective "Encourage utilisation of the environmental consulting services".

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The proposed rates will be used to develop detailed budgets for Environmental Service consulting activities and a review of the EMRC Five Year Financial Plan.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The rates being proposed for the EMRC and member Councils recognise the competing demands on budgets from other sources and have been designed to facilitate the achievement of a sustainable service delivery arrangement.

MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS

Member Council	Implication Details
Town of Bassendean	} As outlined in the report and attachment.
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	

ATTACHMENT(S)

Draft Environmental Services Consulting Rates 2008/2009 ([Ref: Committees-7413](#))

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority



Item 9.2 continued

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Draft Environmental Services Consulting Rates forming attachment 1 to this report be used to develop the draft 2008/2009 budget and be referred to member Councils for consideration during the development of their 2008/2009 budgets.

Discussion ensued

Mr Lutey asked how the consulting fees for Environmental Services compare with the market. The Manager, Environmental Services advised that they had not done a thorough assessment but they try to keep increases around the 10% mark. The Executive Manager, Regional Services advised that the Eastern Metropolitan Regional Council receive few requests for external consulting.

TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR DYSON

That the Draft Environmental Services Consulting Rates forming attachment 1 to this report be used to develop the draft 2008/2009 budget and be referred to member Councils for consideration during the development of their 2008/2009 budgets.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCIL RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED CR GODFREY

SECONDED CR ZANNINO

THAT THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CONSULTING RATES FORMING ATTACHMENT 1 TO THIS REPORT BE USED TO DEVELOP THE DRAFT 2008/2009 BUDGET AND BE REFERRED TO MEMBER COUNCILS FOR CONSIDERATION DURING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THEIR 2008/2009 BUDGETS.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

DRAFT Environmental Consulting Rates 2008/2009

	Prior Year Actuals					Proposed	
	2003/2004	2004/05	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	% Change
<i>Member Council Consulting Fees</i>							
Consultant Executive	\$76.00	\$76.00	\$78.00	\$80.00	\$85.00	\$88.00	3.53%
Consultant Manager				\$70.00	\$75.00	\$78.00	4.00%
Senior Consultant	\$56.00	\$56.00	\$58.00	N/A	\$65.00	\$68.00	4.62%
Consultant	\$54.00	\$54.00	\$56.00	\$58.00	\$58.00	\$60.00	3.45%
Technical Officer	\$39.00	\$39.00	\$40.00	\$42.00	\$44.00	\$46.00	4.55%
<i>Other Organisations Consulting Fees</i>							
Consultant Executive	\$100.00	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$115.00	\$150.00	\$165.00	10.00%
Consultant Manager	\$85.00	\$90.00	\$95.00	\$100.00	\$130.00	\$145.00	11.54%
Senior Consultant	\$85.00	\$90.00	\$95.00	N/A	\$130.00	\$145.00	11.54%
Consultant	\$75.00	\$80.00	\$85.00	\$90.00	\$120.00	\$132.00	10.00%
Technical Officer	\$65.00	\$70.00	\$75.00	\$80.00	\$105.00	\$115.00	9.52%
<i>Internal (EMRC) Consulting Fees</i>							
Consultant Executive	\$87.00	\$90.00	\$90.00	\$90.00	\$95.00	\$98.00	3.16%
Consultant Manager	\$70.00	\$75.00	\$75.00	\$80.00	\$80.00	\$84.00	5.00%
Senior Consultant	\$70.00	\$75.00	\$75.00	N/A	\$80.00	\$83.00	3.75%
Consultant	\$66.00	\$68.00	\$68.00	\$70.00	\$70.00	\$73.00	4.29%
Technical Officer	\$50.00	\$52.00	\$52.00	\$55.00	\$55.00	\$57.00	3.64%

* Note : All Rates are exclusive of GST



9.3 PROPOSED 2008/2009 SCHEDULE OF FEES & CHARGES FOR WASTE DISPOSAL AT RED HILL WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-7422

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of the report is to propose preliminary fees and charges for the disposal of waste at the Red Hill Waste Management Facility and Hazelmere site for 2008/2009 so that the 2008/2009 Draft Budget can be developed.

KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- It is proposed that the 2008/2009 base waste disposal fee be set at \$31.00/tonne (ex GST).
- It is proposed that the member Council contribution to the Secondary Waste Treatment Reserve be increased to \$18.00 per tonne (ex GST), a \$2.00/tonne (ex GST) increase over the 2007/2008 contribution, and the contribution to the secondary waste Reserve from commercial/general waste be increased by \$1.00/tonne over the 2007/2008 level to \$11.00/tonne (ex GST).
- It is proposed to increase the Waste Education levy from \$1.00/tonne (ex GST) to \$2.00/tonne (ex GST) to fund an expanded Waste Education Strategy leading up to decisions in relation to the Resource Recovery Project.
- The Department of Environment and Conservation has increased the Landfill Levy by \$1.00/tonne (ex GST) for 2008/2009, and the Landfill Levy will be \$7.00/tonne (ex GST) for all Class II, III and IV waste disposed of to landfill
- The cost of member Council tip passes and prices for small vehicles are proposed to remain largely unchanged due to the relatively significant price increases in 2007/2008.
- It is proposed that the Commercial/General Waste fee be increased from the current rate of \$60.00/tonne to \$63.64/tonne (ex GST).
- A detailed presentation of all other changes to waste fees and charges is contained in the report.

Recommendation(s)

That the Fees and Charges for Waste Management, forming Attachment 1 of this report, be referred to member councils for consideration during the development of their budgets and be used to develop the 2008/2009 EMRC Draft Budget.

SOURCE OF REPORT

Executive Manager Waste Management Services
Manager Financial Services

BACKGROUND

Each year a Schedule of Fees and Charges is prepared as a basis for the development of EMRC's Budget.

At its meeting held 2 June 1999 Council adopted a methodology for financing the proposed secondary waste processing facility which included a Secondary Waste Disposal Charge of \$2.00/tonne to be increased each year by \$2.00/tonne.

A system of discounted fees for large volumes of commercial waste was adopted as a Confidential Item by Council in its meeting held 18 November 2004. That discount structure has continued largely unchanged since that resolution.



Item 9.3 continued

REPORT

The proposed Fees and Charges have been set out in tabular form (refer attachment) and are discussed in detail below. In the discussion below, all fees are exclusive GST.

Member Councils

The previous Minister of the Environment, when announcing the increase in the Landfill Levy of \$6.00/tonne being applied as from 1 October 2006, indicated that the levy will be increased to \$7.00/tonne in 2008/2009 and by \$1.00/tonne in each year to 2011/2012.

The annual \$2.00/tonne increase in contributions to the Secondary Waste Reserve by Member Councils adopted at the June 1999 meeting has been added to the 2007/2008 rate of \$16.00. This has been used in developing the 2008/2009 Member Council waste management fees and charges.

An increase of \$1.00/tonne has been proposed for the Waste Education levy, increasing it from \$1.00/tonne to \$2.00/tonne to fund an expanded Waste Education Strategy leading up to decisions in relation to the Resource Recovery Project. The Waste Education levy has been unchanged since its inception in 2002/2003 notwithstanding significant expansion in the scope of the education programme.

The Base Tipping Fee is proposed to be increased from \$29.50/tonne to \$31.00/tonne, an increase of approximately 5%. This increase seeks to keep landfill fees increasing in line with the inflation in costs of both construction and operation of the landfill.

Councils – Other

The fees for WMRC Domestic and WMRC Commercial are identical to the Member Council and Commercial waste fees, and so are increased in line with increases to each of those fees. No fee increase is proposed for the Domestic Refuse Tip Pass (Gidgegannup), and small increases are proposed for Council Refuse Tip Passes for both cars and trailers. These increases are broadly consistent with the increase in waste disposal fees.

General Waste

The commercial/general waste disposal rates are proposed to be increased from \$60.00/tonne to \$63.64/tonne. The discount structure applicable to large quantities of waste is proposed to be retained at \$4.55/tonne for tonnages in excess of 15,000 tonnes and a further \$4.55/tonne for annual tonnages in excess of 25,000 tonnes. The discount is proposed to apply to all waste types, but not be aggregated across waste types where a single company delivers a number of different types of waste.

The provision of a discount for disposing of bulk waste volumes enables the EMRC to offset largely fixed costs against a greater volume of waste, and thus keep member Council waste disposal fees low. The additional income earned also enables the EMRC to fund programmes that would otherwise be either abandoned or funded by member Councils.

The amount of commercial waste received in 2008/2009 may decline from the 2007/2008 budgeted tonnages due to the potential diversion of West Australian Landfill Service (WALS) trucks from Red Hill as a consequence of the Special Access Fee proposed in item 9.1 of this report. The Special Access Fee is not proposed to be altered in 2008/2009. Irrespective of the Special Access Fee, the WALS trucks are not anticipated to continue utilising Red Hill after April/May 2009.

Other commercial tonnages are anticipated to remain unchanged notwithstanding the proposed price increase. It is suggested that the current contribution from commercial/general waste to the Secondary Waste Reserve of \$10.00/tonne be increased to \$11.00/tonne to build the Secondary Waste Reserve ahead of a decision on the Resource Recovery Facility.



Item 9.3 continued

The charges for trailers, tip passes etc. are proposed to remain largely unchanged due to the relatively significant price increases in 2007/2008.

Greenwaste

The proposed fee for the disposal of uncontaminated green waste from member Councils is proposed to remain unchanged, and the fee for MGB greenwaste will continue to be indexed to the waste disposal fee less landfill levy.

The fee for commercial green waste is proposed to be reduced from \$36.00/tonne to \$27.27/tonne. This reduction is intended to make green waste disposal at Red Hill more attractive, enabling a critical mass of product to be received so that the composting operations can shift from an intermittent to a continual process. This is expected to reduce processing costs per tonne of mulch.

The option of green waste disposal at Hazelmere is proposed, with a disposal fee of \$36.36/tonne to provide for cartage of the shredded green waste to Red Hill. A nil fee is proposed for the disposal at Red Hill of green waste shredded to the EMRC specification. Both of these measures will contribute to tonnages of green waste processed at Red Hill, and thus achieve efficiency gains. They may also lead to green waste being diverted from the waste stream disposed of to landfill.

Special wastes

In September 2005 Council resolved to reduce the asbestos disposal charge to that applicable to commercial waste so as to encourage appropriate disposal and reduce the incidence of illegal dumping due to high disposal costs. This was continued in 2007/2008, however other landfills increased their asbestos disposal charge substantially.

As a result of the price differential between Red Hill and other landfills, Red Hill is receiving substantial volumes of commercial asbestos. To compensate for the higher cost of asbestos management, it is proposed to increase the fee for asbestos disposal from \$59.59 to \$72.73, but introduce a new fee for disposal of asbestos from member Council residents. This fee is proposed to be set at \$59.09.

A charge for mattresses is proposed to be established for Red Hill, but only be applied upon the commencement of mattress processing at Hazelmere. The charge for mattresses delivered to Red Hill and Hazelmere is proposed to be set at \$4.55/mattress, an increase from \$2.00/mattress.

The disposal fees for Class III and Class IV waste and Class III and Class IV contaminated soils are proposed to be increased by a similar percentage to the increases for commercial/general waste. The disposal fee for Class V waste to be concrete encapsulated has been increased from \$418.82/tonne to \$500.00/tonne to take into account substantial price increases in concrete.

Hazelmere

The volumetric fees for the acceptance of Grade 1 and Grade 2 timber waste are proposed to be reduced to \$3.18/m³ and \$4.55/m³ largely to facilitate cash handling.

The fee per tonne for Grade 1 timber is proposed to be increased from \$20.00/tonne to \$30.00/tonne upon commissioning of the Hazelmere weighbridge. This increase is based on an observed willingness of waste disposal companies to pay a premium for public weighbridge dockets to attract the tonnage fee rather than the volumetric fee. The volumetric fees will cease to be used after the commissioning of the Hazelmere weighbridge.



Item 9.3 continued

Sale of materials

All materials sold from either Red Hill or Hazelmere are subject to minimum orders of 10 tonnes, and all prices are ex stockpile (that is, do not include delivery). This is to minimise site workload from having small orders, and is also intended to avoid direct competition with garden supply yards.

It is not proposed to change the prices for the sale of mixed clay/fill, mulch and ferricrete from the 2007/2008 levels, however the price for mixed clay/fill is clarified to stipulate that the purchaser must load the material.

The price for the sale of compost is proposed to be reduced from \$30.00/tonne to \$25.00/tonne to make it the same price as mulch and thus promote its sale. The reduction will also facilitate the development and sale of compost/mulch blends.

The price for the sale of woodchip is proposed to be increased from \$40.00 to \$45.45. A new charge is proposed for the sale of wood fines of \$30.00/tonne, and the charge for the sale of coloured mulch is proposed to be \$70.00/tonne. Discounts of 5% and 10% on the sale price are proposed for single orders of a particular product in excess of 100 and 1,000 tonnes respectively.

It should be noted that the fees proposed in this report are subject to review upon preparation of the final budgets for 2008/2009.

STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Fees and Charges have been developed to minimise the environmental impact of waste disposal and improve member Council and EMRC financial viability.

Income from commercial waste over and above the variable costs of landfill operation reduces the waste disposal costs for the member Councils and contributes to EMRC surpluses that fund other programmes.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The Fees and Charges have been developed to ensure that the Red Hill and Hazelmere operations are undertaken in a fiscally responsible manner.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The Fees and Charges will ensure the Red Hill Waste Management Facility and Hazelmere site are operated in a socially, environmentally and financially responsible manner.

MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS

Member Council	Implication Details
Town of Bassendean	} As outlined in the report and attachment
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	



Item 9.3 continued

ATTACHMENT(S)

2008/2009 Proposed schedule of fees and charges (Ref: Committees-7593)

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Fees and Charges for Waste Management, forming Attachment 1 of this report, be referred to member councils for consideration during the development of their budgets and be used to develop the 2008/2009 EMRC Draft Budget.

Discussion ensued

The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services tabled a document to substitute the original late item attachment for the proposed 2008/2009 schedule of fees and charges for waste disposal at Red Hill Waste Management Facility. The greenwaste – uncontaminated (Commercial) figures were missing from the original.

Mr Goldsworthy suggested the Secondary Waste Reserve name be changed to Resource Recovery Reserve to make it consistent with the name of the committee. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that it would be possible to change the name for the budget.

Mr Goldsworthy asked if the Gidgegannup charges were the same. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services advised these changes are unchanged.

Mr Goldsworthy asked why the charge for general waste has not increased, but the charge for Council Tip Passes has increased. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services advised there was a large increase last year and they received many complaints from customers bringing trailer loads of waste. There are concerns that people may dump rubbish if the waste disposal charge is too high. Mr Lutey advised that Mindarie charge by the tonne for everything and the EMRC price is consistent with the Mindarie price.

The Manager, Project Development suggested the CWES levy be changed to the Waste Education Levy for the budget.

Mr Goldsworthy sought confirmation that, if a greenwaste contractor was to go to Red Hill with shredded greenwaste, there is nil charge. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services confirmed this, stating that the gate price covers the cost of shredding and the mulching cost is covered in the sale price when the product is sold. Encouraging contractors to use the facility enables the EMRC to build up the capacity of the composting facility.

Mr Goldsworthy sought confirmation that clay is free. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services advised that clay is not available.



Item 9.3 continued

TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR PUMPHREY

That the Fees and Charges for Waste Management, forming Attachment 1 of this report, be referred to member councils for consideration during the development of their budgets and be used to develop the 2008/2009 EMRC Draft Budget.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCIL RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED CR GODFREY

SECONDED CR ZANNINO

THAT THE FEES AND CHARGES FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT, FORMING ATTACHMENT 1 OF THIS REPORT, BE REFERRED TO MEMBER COUNCILS FOR CONSIDERATION DURING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THEIR BUDGETS AND BE USED TO DEVELOP THE 2008/2009 EMRC DRAFT BUDGET.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

EASTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUNCIL
2008/2009 PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES

Description	Weight/Unit	2007/2008		2007/2008 Charges		2008/2009 Charges with no GST	2008/2009 Charges		% Inc. 2007/2008 to 2008/2009 Exc. GST
		Charges with no GST \$	Value of GST \$	inc GST \$	Value of GST \$		inc GST \$		
Waste Management Charges									
Disposal Rates									
Member Councils									
	1 tonne								
Base Tipping Fee		29.50	2.95	32.45	31.00	3.10	34.10	5.08%	
CWES Levy		1.00	0.10	1.10	2.00	0.20	2.20	100.00%	
Secondary Waste Reserve		16.00	1.60	17.60	18.00	1.80	19.80	12.50%	
Landfill Levy		6.00	0.60	6.60	7.00	0.70	7.70	16.67%	
Total Member Council disposal rate - (*)		52.50	5.25	57.75	58.00	5.80	63.80	10.48%	
Councils - Other									
WMRC - Domestic - (*)	1 tonne	52.50	5.25	57.75	58.00	5.80	63.80	10.48%	
WMRC - Commercial	1 tonne	60.00	6.00	66.00	63.64	6.36	70.00	6.07%	
Domestic Refuse Tip Pass (Gidgegannup @ 3bags/wk)	n/a	2.73	0.27	3.00	2.73	0.27	3.00	0.00%	
Council Refuse Tip Passes - Cars (up to 200kg)	n/a	10.50	1.04	11.54	10.91	1.09	12.00	3.90%	
Council Refuse Tip Passes - Trailers (up to 500kg)	n/a	18.36	1.84	20.20	19.09	1.91	21.00	3.98%	
General Waste									
Cars / Station Wagons	n/a	11.82	1.18	13.00	11.82	1.18	13.00	0.00%	
Trailers (6 x 4)	n/a	22.73	2.27	25.00	22.73	2.27	25.00	0.00%	
Trailers (6 x 4) High Sides	n/a	27.27	2.73	30.00	27.27	2.73	30.00	0.00%	
Tandem/ Horse Floats (< 1 tonne)	n/a	40.91	4.09	45.00	40.91	4.09	45.00	0.00%	
Vans / Utes	n/a	20.00	2.00	22.00	20.00	2.00	22.00	0.00%	
Commercial (General)	1 tonne	60.00	6.00	66.00	63.64	6.36	70.00	6.07%	
Minimum Commercial Charges		30.00	3.00	33.00	31.82	3.18	35.00	6.07%	
Commercial (Special Access)	1 tonne	70.00	7.00	77.00	70.00	7.00	77.00	0.00%	
Greenwaste									
Greenwaste - uncontaminated (Member Councils)	1 tonne	26.50	2.65	29.15	26.50	2.65	29.15	0.00%	
Greenwaste - MGB (Member Councils)	1 tonne	46.50	4.65	51.15	51.00	5.10	56.10	9.68%	
Greenwaste - uncontaminated (to Hazelmere)	1 tonne			NOT APPLICABLE	36.36	3.64	40.00	N/A	
Greenwaste - shredded to EMRC specification (to Red Hill)	1 tonne			NOT APPLICABLE	NO CHARGE			N/A	
(For 2008/2009 annual cumulative Commercial & Contaminated Soils tonnages disposed in excess of 15,000 tonnes and 25,000 tonnes are subject to a reduction of \$5.00/tonne and \$10.00/tonne respectively.)									
(*) 2008/2009 - Inclusive of \$18.00 Secondary Waste Reserve and \$2.00 CWES Levy.									

Attachment to TAC/COUNCIL 6/20 March 2008 Item 9.3

EASTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUNCIL
2008/2009 PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES

Description	Weight/Unit	2007/2008	2007/2008 Charges		2008/2009 Charges with no GST	2008/2009 Charges		% Inc. 2007/2008 to 2008/2009 Exc. GST
		Charges with no GST \$	Value of GST \$	inc GST \$		Value of GST \$	inc GST \$	
<u>Waste Management Charges continued..</u>								
<u>Disposal Rates continued..</u>								
<u>Special Wastes</u>								
Asbestos	1 tonne	59.59	5.96	65.55	72.73	7.27	80.00	22.05%
Asbestos - Member Council residents only	1 tonne	59.59	5.96	65.55	59.10	5.90	65.00	-0.82%
Asbestos - Minimum Charge		12.27	1.23	13.50	13.64	1.36	15.00	11.17%
Car Bodies - Commercial	each	9.10	0.90	10.00	9.10	0.90	10.00	0.00%
Car Bodies - Member Council residents only	each	NO CHARGE	NOT APPLICABLE		NO CHARGE			N/A
Quarantine Waste	1 tonne	81.00	8.10	89.10	81.00	8.10	89.10	0.00%
Burial Fee (for immediate burial requirements)	n/a	120.00	12.00	132.00	122.73	12.27	135.00	2.28%
Tyre Disposal (off rim)	each	2.73	0.27	3.00	2.73	0.27	3.00	0.00%
Tyre Disposal (with rim)	each	5.00	0.50	5.50	5.45	0.55	6.00	9.00%
Mattress disposal fee (to Red Hill)	each		NOT APPLICABLE		4.55	0.45	5.00	N/A
Wash Facility Fee		30.00	3.00	33.00	31.82	3.18	35.00	6.07%
Class III Waste	1 tonne	60.00	6.00	66.00	63.64	6.36	70.00	6.07%
Class III Contaminated Soil	1 tonne	47.20	4.72	51.92	50.00	5.00	55.00	5.93%
Class IV Waste	1 tonne	81.18	8.12	89.30	85.45	8.55	94.00	5.26%
Class IV Contaminated Soil	1 tonne	69.00	6.90	75.90	72.73	7.27	80.00	5.41%
Class V Waste	1 tonne	418.82	41.88	460.70	500.00	50.00	550.00	19.38%
<u>Hazelmere</u>								
Mattress disposal fee	each	2.00	0.20	2.20	4.55	0.45	5.00	127.50%
Wood Waste (per cubic metre)								
- Grade 1	per m3	3.50	0.35	3.85	3.18	0.32	3.50	-9.14%
- Grade 2	per m3	5.00	0.50	5.50	4.55	0.45	5.00	-9.00%
- Grade 3	per m3	10.00	1.00	11.00	10.00	1.00	11.00	0.00%
- Grade 4	per m3	30.00	3.00	33.00	30.00	3.00	33.00	0.00%
Wood Waste (per tonne)								
- Grade 1	1 tonne	20.00	2.00	22.00	30.00	3.00	33.00	50.00%
- Grade 2	1 tonne	28.50	2.85	31.35	35.00	3.49	38.49	22.81%
- Grade 3	1 tonne	57.00	5.70	62.70	57.00	5.70	62.70	0.00%
- Grade 4	1 tonne	100.00	10.00	110.00	100.00	10.00	110.00	0.00%

(For 2008/2009 annual cumulative Commercial & Contaminated Soils tonnages disposed in excess of 15,000 tonnes and 25,000 tonnes are subject to a reduction of \$5.00/tonne and \$10.00/tonne respectively.)

EASTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUNCIL
2008/2009 PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES

Description	Weight/Unit	2007/2008	2007/2008 Charges		2008/2009 Charges with no GST \$	2008/2009 Charges		% Inc. 2007/2008 to 2008/2009 Exc. GST
		Charges with no GST \$	Value of GST \$	inc GST \$		Value of GST \$	inc GST \$	
<u>Waste Management Charges continued ..</u>								
<u>Sale of Materials (all ex stockpile, minimum 10 tonnes)</u>								
Sale of mixed clay/fill (purchaser to load)	1 tonne	0.50	0.05	0.55	0.50	0.05	0.55	0.00%
Sale of Clay - ex stockpile	1 tonne	2.00	0.20	2.20		NOT APPLICABLE		N/A
Sale of Mulch (Screened)	1 tonne	45.00	4.50	49.50		NOT APPLICABLE		N/A
Sale of Mulch	1 tonne	25.00	2.50	27.50	25.00	2.50	27.50	0.00%
Sale of Coloured Mulch	1 tonne		NOT APPLICABLE		70.00	7.00	77.00	N/A
Sale of Compost	1 tonne	30.00	3.00	33.00	25.00	2.50	27.50	-16.67%
Ferricrete	1 tonne	12.00	1.20	13.20	12.00	1.20	13.20	0.00%
Wood Chip (coarse ground) - Hazelmere	per tonne	12.00	1.20	13.20		NOT APPLICABLE		N/A
Wood Chip (secondary ground) - Hazelmere	per tonne	40.00	4.00	44.00	45.45	4.55	50.00	13.63%
Wood Chip (fines) - Hazelmere	per tonne		NOT APPLICABLE		30.00	3.00	33.00	N/A
(For 2008/2009 material purchases in excess of 100 tonnes and 1,000 are subject to a reduction of 5% and 10% respectively.)								



9.4 DRAFT RISK MANAGEMENT CONSULTING RATES AND TRAINING COURSE FEES 2008/2009

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-7572

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide member Councils with indicative risk management consulting rates and training course fees for 2008/2009.

KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- A small increase in Risk Management consulting rates for member Councils and other clients and users is proposed for 2008/2009.
- With the exception of traffic management training, it is proposed to increase Risk Management training course fees by no more than 4.5% in 2009. Fees for traffic management training are strongly influenced by market forces and the nature of assessment processes as imposed by Main Roads WA.
- The fee for WorkSafe Safety Awareness training is still significantly less than for a standard half day course, largely due to competition in the marketplace as well as the favourable effect of higher numbers.

Recommendation(s)

That the Draft Risk Management Consulting Rates and Training Course Fees forming attachment 1 of this report be used for the development of the 2008/2009 draft budget and be referred to member Councils for consideration during the development of their 2008/2009 budgets.

SOURCE OF REPORT

Manager Risk Management Service

BACKGROUND

Risk Management consulting rates and training course fees are reviewed on an annual basis as part of the preparation of the annual budget. Proposed rates are distributed to member Councils to assist in the preparation of member Council budgets.

REPORT

The Risk Management Service consulting rates have been increased again to compensate for the impact of inflation and to ensure there is an adequate contribution to EMRC costs. Since inflation experienced in 2007/2008 has been higher than in the previous year, the increases recommended for 2008/2009 are slightly higher and are typically about 4%.

The consulting rate for Occasional Clients has been increased by 8% to bring this more in line with the general rates of comparable consulting organisations.

Overall, the consulting rates outlined in attachment 1 are similar or lower than the rates of comparable consulting operations.

The new fee for WorkSafe Construction Industry Safety Awareness training of \$73.64 (ex GST) per person is still well below that for a standard half day training session. It is proposed that this fee be increased by 8% with a view to creating a fee that is more appropriate to the numbers attending courses in the next few years. There is also the dual requirement to remain competitive within the market place.



Item 9.4 continued

It is recommended that the fees for Traffic Management training remain unchanged for 2008/2009, however changes in Main Roads WA's course requirements could force a change in these fees. Not all Main Roads courses are currently offered due to the lack of availability of specialist Trainers.

The proposed consulting rates and training course fees will be used to develop the draft 2008/2009 budget and to assist member Councils to develop their budgets. There may be a need to revise these rates prior to adoption by the EMRC, as they are also dependent on the estimated level of utilization as well as having to cope with the pricing pressures of other Registered Training Organisations.

It should be noted that consulting fees are applicable for a financial year, whereas training courses are applicable for a calendar year.

STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Adoption of the draft consulting rates for the preparation of the draft 2008/2009 budget will contribute toward the enabler objective of "Continue to improve corporate financial management".

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The proposed rates will be used to develop detailed budgets for Risk Management Service consulting and training activities and a review of the Five Year Financial Plan.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS

Member Council	Implication Details
Town of Bassendean City of Bayswater City of Belmont Shire of Kalamunda Shire of Mundaring City of Swan	} As outlined in the report and attachment

ATTACHMENT(S)

Draft Risk Management Consulting Rates and Training Course Fees for 2008/2009
(Ref: Committees-7573)

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority



Item 9.4 continued

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Draft Risk Management Consulting Rates and Training Course Fees forming attachment 1 of this report be used for the development of the 2008/2009 draft budget and be referred to member Councils for consideration during the development of their 2008/2009 budgets.

Discussion ensued

The Executive Manger, Regional Services advised that the consulting rates and training course fees for 2008/2009 for Risk Management show a modest increase for external consulting and training in line with the private sector.

Mr Goldsworthy asked why risk consulting is more expensive than the Environmental Services consulting rates. The Executive Manager, Regional Services advised that there is a range of people with different expertise in Environmental Services, whereas Risk Management only offers manager and senior advisor rates.

TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR DYSON

That the Draft Risk Management Consulting Rates and Training Course Fees forming attachment 1 of this report be used for the development of the 2008/2009 draft budget and be referred to member Councils for consideration during the development of their 2008/2009 budgets.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCIL RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED CR GODFREY

SECONDED CR ZANNINO

THAT THE DRAFT RISK MANAGEMENT CONSULTING RATES AND TRAINING COURSE FEES FORMING ATTACHMENT 1 OF THIS REPORT BE USED FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2008/2009 DRAFT BUDGET AND BE REFERRED TO MEMBER COUNCILS FOR CONSIDERATION DURING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THEIR 2008/2009 BUDGETS.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Draft Risk Management Consulting Rates and Training Course Fees 2008/2009

	Prior Years Actuals						Proposed	
	2002/2003	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	% Change
<i>Consulting Fees</i>								
Member Councils (Per Hour)	\$52.00	\$54.00	\$56.00	\$56.00	\$75.00	\$78.00	\$80.91	3.7%
WMRC (Per Hour)	\$82.00	\$86.00	\$88.00	\$88.00	\$90.00	\$92.00	\$95.45	3.8%
Other Organisations – Regular (Per Hour)	\$87.00	\$90.00	\$93.00	\$93.00	\$95.00	\$97.00	\$100.91	4.0%
Other Organisations – Occasional (Per Hour)	\$90.00	\$95.00	\$98.00	\$98.00	\$98.00	\$101.00	\$109.09	8.0%
Internal – EMRC (Per Hour)	\$82.00	\$86.00	\$88.00	\$88.00	\$88.00	\$90.00	\$94.00	4.4%
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	% Change
<i>General Safety Training Course Fees</i>								
Half Day (Per Participant)	\$114.55	\$118.18	\$121.82	\$123.64	\$125.45	\$129.21	\$134.55	4.1%
One Day (Per Participant)	\$191.82	\$197.27	\$203.64	\$207.27	\$213.64	\$217.91	\$227.27	4.3%
Two Day (Per Participant)	\$321.82	\$331.82	\$340.91	\$345.45	\$354.55	\$365.19	\$380.00	4.1%
WorkSafe Safety Awareness Training - Construction Industry (Half day)						\$68.18	\$73.64	8.0%
<i>Traffic Management Training Course Fees **</i>								
Basic Worksite Traffic Management	\$118.18	\$118.18	\$118.18	\$118.18	\$124.54	\$188.00	\$188.00	0.0%
Advanced Worksite Traffic Management	\$154.55	\$159.09	\$159.09	\$390.90	\$410.91	TBA	TBA	N/A
Traffic Controller	\$118.18	\$118.18	\$118.18	\$118.18	\$124.54	\$188.00	\$188.00	0.0%
Events Traffic Controller course						\$127.27	\$130.91	2.9%
InterCouncil Comparison Scheme (Benchmarking process)						\$36.00 per mth	\$37.50 per mth	4.2%

* Notes: All Rates are exclusive of GST

** Curriculum for Main Roads Advanced courses still to be resolved

Attachment to TAC/COUNCIL 6/20 March 2008 Item 9.4



10 CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

RECOMMENDATION (Closing meeting to the public)

That the meeting be closed to members of the public in accordance with Section 5.23 (2) (c) of the Local Government Act for the purpose of dealing with matters of a confidential nature.

TAC RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR DYSON

THAT THE MEETING BE CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 5.23 (2) (C) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEALING WITH MATTERS OF A CONFIDENTIAL NATURE.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10.1 INVESTIGATIVE STUDIES FOR RED HILL WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-7583

See Confidential Item circulated with the Agenda under Separate Cover

This item is recommended to be confidential because it contains matters of Legal advice.

RECOMMENDATION [Meeting re-opened to the public]

That the meeting be re-opened, the public be invited to return to the meeting and the recommendations passed behind closed doors be recorded.

TAC RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR DYSON

THAT THE MEETING BE RE-OPENED, THE PUBLIC BE INVITED TO RETURN TO THE MEETING AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS PASSED BEHIND CLOSED DOORS BE RECORDED.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Recording of the recommendations passed behind closed doors, namely:

TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)

MOVED MR PUMPHREY

SECONDED MR LUTEY

That Council:

1. Issue a letter consistent with the legal advice received from McLeods Barristers and Solicitors dated 26 February 2008.
2. By an absolute majority in accordance with section 6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995 authorises expenditure of \$40,000 (ex GST) for the purpose of a heritage study, to be funded from 2007/08 operating surpluses.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



11 GENERAL BUSINESS

Mr Goldsworthy asked about the progress of the Strategic Waste Management Plans. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services said there was an internal meeting today to discuss the progress, and another meeting has been arranged for next week to work out further details on the meetings with Councils. The meetings should occur next month. Mr Goldsworthy asked when the deadline was. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services advised the deadline is the end of September.

Mr Lutey asked if the October meeting would be held at Red Hill. The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised it would be if this is what normally occurs unless there are other reasons why not. The Executive Manager, Waste Management Services advised that he would find out whether it would be held at Red Hill and report back. The Manager Project Development suggested the meeting be held in the Education Centre this year.

12 FUTURE MEETINGS OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The next meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee will be held on **Thursday 10 April 2008** at the EMRC Administration Office, 1st Floor, Ascot Place, 226 Great Eastern Highway, Belmont WA 6104 commencing at 3.30 pm.

Future Meetings 2008

Thursday	10 April	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	8 May	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	5 June	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	7 August	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	9 October	at	EMRC Administration Office

13 DECLARATION OF CLOSURE OF MEETING

There being no further business, the Chairman declared the meeting closed at 4.10pm.