




## MINUTES

### CERTIFICATION OF CONFIRMATION OF TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

20 November 2014

I, Mr Simon Stewert-Dawkins, hereby certify that the minutes from the Technical Advisory Committee Meeting held on 20 November 2014 pages 1 to 16 were confirmed at a Committee meeting held on 9 April 2015.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

Mr Simon Stewert-Dawkins  
Person presiding at Meeting

## TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### MINUTES

20 November 2014

(Ref: D2014/13543)

A meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee was held at the EMRC Administration Office, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, 226 Great Eastern Highway, BELMONT WA 6104 on **Thursday, 20 November 2014**. The meeting commenced at **1:03pm**.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>DECLARATION OF OPENING AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED)</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIRMAN OR PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS</b>	
<b>6</b>	<b>CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS</b>	<b>1</b>
	6.1 <i>MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 9 OCTOBER 2014 (Ref: D2014/12726)</i>	
<b>7</b>	<b>QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS WITHOUT NOTICE</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETINGS MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC</b>	<b>2</b>
	9.1 <i>ACQUISITION OF LAND (Ref: D2014/13755)</i>	
<b>10</b>	<b>BUSINESS NOT DEALT WITH FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>REPORTS OF EMPLOYEES</b>	<b>3</b>
	11.1 <i>SKIP SACK TRIAL (Ref: D2014/13544)</i>	<b>3</b>
	11.2 <i>TENDER 2014-006 - STAGE 15 LANDFILL CELL CONSTRUCTION (Ref: D2014/13545)</i>	<b>7</b>
	11.3 <i>RED HILL OPEN DAY 2014 (Ref: D2014/14019)</i>	<b>11</b>
	11.4 <i>ITEMS CONTAINED IN THE INFORMATION BULLETIN (Ref: D2014/13659)</i>	<b>14</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>REPORTS OF DELEGATES</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE APPROVED BY THE CHAIRMAN OR PRESIDING MEMBER OR BY DECISION OF MEETING</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC</b>	<b>15</b>
	14.1 <i>ACQUISITION OF LAND (Ref: D2014/13755)</i>	<b>15</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>FUTURE MEETINGS OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>DECLARATION OF CLOSURE OF MEETING</b>	<b>16</b>

---



## **1 DECLARATION OF OPENING AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS**

The Chairman opened the meeting at 1:03pm.

## **2 ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED)**

### **Committee Members**

Mr Simon Stewert-Dawkins <b>(Chairman)</b>	Director Operational Services	Town of Bassendean
Mr James Riley (Deputising for Mr Pearson)	Manager Environmental Health Services	City of Bayswater
Mr Ric Lutey	Director Technical Services	City of Belmont
Mr Charles Sullivan	Director Development and Infrastructure Services	Shire of Kalamunda
Mr Colin Pumphrey (Deputising for Mr Coten)	Manager Fleet and Waste Services	City of Swan
Mr Peter Schneider	Chief Executive Officer	EMRC

### **Apologies**

Mr Jim Coten	Executive Manager Operations	City of Swan
Mr Doug Pearson <b>(Deputy Chairman)</b>	Director Technical Services	City of Bayswater

### **EMRC Officers**

Mr Stephen Fitzpatrick	Director Waste Services
Mr Gilbert Arlandoo	Manager Engineering & Waste Services
Mr Brian Bushby	Operations Manager
Ms Giulia Bono	Administration Officer (Minutes)

## **3 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS**

Nil

## **4 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIRMAN OR PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION**

Nil

## **5 PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

Nil



**6 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

**6.1 MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 9 OCTOBER 2014**

That the Minutes of the Technical Advisory Committee meeting held on 9 October 2014, which have been distributed, be confirmed.

**TAC RESOLUTION(S)**

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR SULLIVAN

THAT THE MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 9 OCTOBER 2014 WHICH HAVE BEEN DISTRIBUTED, BE CONFIRMED.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**7 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

Nil

**8 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS WITHOUT NOTICE**

Nil

**9 ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC**

NOTE: Section 5.23(2) of the Local Government Act 1995, details a number of matters upon which Council may discuss and make decisions without members of the public being present. These matters include: matters affecting employees; personal affairs of any person; contractual matters; legal advice; commercial-in-confidence matters; security matters; among others.

The following report items are covered in section 14 of this agenda.

9.1 ACQUISITION OF LAND

**10 BUSINESS NOT DEALT WITH FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING**

Nil



## 11 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

### 11.1 SKIP SACK TRIAL

REFERENCE: D2014/13544

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To advise Council of the outcomes of a trial programme using skip sacks in lieu of member Council verge collections and skip bins.

#### KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- The City of Swan and the Shire of Mundaring conducted trials in 2012 and 2013 involving the use of skip sacks in lieu of verge collections and skip bins.
- The Shire of Mundaring trialled the skip sacks for residential greenwaste collection and reported that the trial was unsatisfactory because of higher costs and higher contamination rates.
- The City of Swan trialled the skip sacks for a hard waste collection and found that they were impractical because of the restrictions the skip sacks placed on the size of items that could be collected in a hard waste collection.

#### Recommendation(s)

That Council notes the use of skip sacks in lieu of verge collections and skip bins was trialled by the City of Swan and the Shire of Mundaring in 2012 and found to be unsatisfactory.

#### SOURCE OF REPORT

Director Waste Services

#### BACKGROUND

In a confidential report to Council on 2 December 2010 (DMDOC/159702), Council resolved that:

*“THAT*

- 1. EMRC OFFICERS UNDERTAKE A MORE DETAILED INVESTIGATION WITH MEMBER COUNCILS AND DEVELOP A FURTHER REPORT TO THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE.*
- 2. THE REPORT AND ATTACHMENT REMAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND BE AUTHORISED BY THE CHAIRMAN AND CEO.”*

#### REPORT

In late 2012, the City of Swan and the Shire of Mundaring trialled the use of 2m<sup>3</sup> polypropylene bags (skip sacks) supplied by Jumbo Bags as an alternative to verge collections and skip bins. The trials were intended to establish the percentage of recyclable material within the bags.

#### Shire of Mundaring Trial

Between September 2012 and December 2012, the Shire of Mundaring trialled the skip sacks for greenwaste collections. The contractor collected skip sacks were transported to either the Shire's Mathieson Road Transfer Station or to the EMRC's Hazelmere Resource Recovery Park, where the bags were emptied, folded and reused and the greenwaste was shredded and recycled. Contamination was disposed of at Red Hill Waste Management Facility.



*Item 11.1 continued*

The Shire of Mundaring concluded that:

- The trial use of JumboBags for greenwaste has some clear benefits to the community in terms of visual amenity and a streamlined collection process, however it has resulted in higher costs and a number of drawbacks and in particular increased contamination.
- Community support was shown to be mixed.
- As a result the Shire concluded it was prudent to revert back to a traditional style collection where greenwaste is piled directly on to the verge. This traditional system of collection commenced again in the 2013/14 financial year.

Throughout the trial it was reported that contamination of the skip sacks was very high when compared to contamination of greenwaste in previous collections. Where waste was contained within the skip sack it was difficult for the operator to see where other items of waste were mixed with or placed under greenwaste. A number of the greenwaste skip sacks collected contained waste other than greenwaste, such as carpet, metal pickets and general waste. These items must be removed before greenwaste can be processed into mulch as they will contaminate the final product and can cause damage to processing machinery.

The Shire of Mundaring noted the experience from the City of Swan's trial use of skip sacks for hard waste collection (refer below) where unacceptable items such as tyres, bricks and gas bottles were collected. There is also the potential for residents to conceal other items which may pose a significant hazard to the public and staff such as asbestos and chemicals. As contamination was likely to become a significant issue during the bulk verge waste collection, it was decided against trialling skip sacks for the bulk waste collection.

Other findings outlined in the Shire of Mundaring report on the use of skip sacks:

1. As skip sacks were lifted by crane truck there was no need for a skid steer loader to travel along verges and in most cases the crane truck did not need to leave the road resulting in less damage to landscaped verges.
2. Leaf litter and lawn clippings were able to be placed directly onto and were contained within the skip sacks. This led to a reduction in waste being spread by the wind along verges and onto the road. Residents considered this a benefit.
3. Waste was contained within the skip sacks as opposed to being placed loosely onto the verge.
4. As the volume of waste that could be collected was restricted to the skip sacks, residents were unable to place large volumes of greenwaste on to the verge or stack greenwaste to a height that may obscure the vision of motorists, so there were benefits to the line of sight. Opinions varied about the aesthetics of the skip sacks as opposed to piles of waste stacked directly on to the verge.
5. Accessibility of the skip sacks for the crane truck was an issue compared to the use of a skid-steer loader.
6. Late presentation of the skip sacks for collection increased compared to previous verge collections, resulting in a significant cost to the Shire to return to areas previously serviced to remove late bags. Residents seemed to consider it acceptable to place waste on to the verge late because it was placed into a Shire issued bag rather than directly on to the verge.

City of Swan Trial

The City of Swan trialled the skip sacks for hard waste at about 300 properties throughout St Leonards in November 2012. The trial showed that residents utilised the bags for small items of waste such as clothes, boxes and books. These waste items would not usually be collected as part of a hard waste collection but should instead be placed in the household waste bin or delivered to a transfer station in a standard family sedan.

The trial also illustrated the impracticality of the restrictions the bags place on the size of waste for the purpose of a hard waste collection. From 350 households, only two small couches and one single mattress were collected. Very little else in the way of furniture or appliances was collected. Also, whilst 350 bags were delivered to residences, only 50 were put out for collection with material in, or returned to the City.



*Item 11.1 continued*

The total tonnage collected was 4.1 tonnes, however an audit on the material was not completed by the EMRC.

Depending on travel times to Hazelmere or other tipping sites, between 40 and 60 residences can be serviced in an 8 hour shift per truck. Each truck requires 2 operators to ensure the safety of the bag connection and crane loading onto the truck. This compares to an average of 310 residences per day using 6 staff (2 crews). The Shire of Mundaring found that on average the crane truck was able to hold 20 skip sacks before being required to unload. By comparison, a compactor truck is able to collect the waste from around 50 residences before being required to unload.

The low participation rate could have been due to the St Leonards area being very new with most people only moving into the area in the 12 months prior to the trial. It could also have been due to the confusing advertising on the outside of the bags.

All the bags collected were delivered to Hazelmere and left for EMRC to empty. The current bag design has no safe mechanism to efficiently roll bags over and empty them. This would need to be designed into future bags.

If the City of Swan chose to use skip sacks in the future they would consider:

- Skip sacks to be provided to residents on request rather than dropping the bags off to all properties within a selected suburb to obtain a better participation rate;
- Improving the design of the bags and addressing with the manufacturers the following issues:
  - Incompatibility of the bags with the current City's trucks leading to OSH issues with lifting the bags above human height;
  - The bags can be damaged by any sharp waste so reusing of the bags is not always possible; and
  - Emptying and refolding is time consuming.

### Conclusion

It is proposed to not proceed further with the use of skip sacks as an alternative to verge collections and skip bins based on operational issues and OSH concerns identified by the Shire of Mundaring and the City of Swan.

## **STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Key Result Area 1 – Environmental Sustainability

- 1.1 To provide sustainable waste disposal operations
- 1.2 To improve regional waste management
- 1.3 To provide resource recovery and recycling solutions in partnership with member Councils
- 1.4 To investigate leading edge waste management practices

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

A consignment of 3,000 skip sacks was purchased by the EMRC for the trial at a cost of \$44,000 ex. GST. These skip sacks are no longer required and are available to member Councils at cost (\$14.64 ex. GST each). Other options to be investigated include selling the skip sacks back to the supplier or to another organisation.



*Item 11.1 continued*

## **SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS**

If the trial had succeeded and a significant percentage of the waste collected in the Skip Sacks and Jumbo Bags was recoverable then more sustainable collection programmes may have resulted.

## **MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS**

<b>Member Council</b>	<b>Implication Details</b>
Town of Bassendean	} Nil
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	

## **ATTACHMENT(S)**

Nil

## **VOTING REQUIREMENT**

Simple Majority

## **Discussion ensued**

The Director Waste Services summarised the report.

Mr Pumphrey reported on the City of Swan's findings. Mr Pumphrey advised that the trial took place in the area of St. Leonards which is a new area with minimal verges and double driveways. The issues addressed were that the skip sacks weren't suitable for the equipment being used and there was no proper sorting facility. These are some areas that could be improved upon if the skip sack trial was to be conducted in the future. The Director Waste Services advised that this could be something that could be considered when the C&I facility is developed at Hazelmere.

## **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That Council notes the use of skip sacks in lieu of verge collections and skip bins was trialled by the City of Swan and the Shire of Mundaring in 2012 and found to be unsatisfactory.

## **TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)**

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR RILEY

That Council notes the use of skip sacks in lieu of verge collections and skip bins was trialled by the City of Swan and the Shire of Mundaring in 2012 and found to be unsatisfactory.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**





## 11.2 TENDER 2014-006 - STAGE 15 LANDFILL CELL CONSTRUCTION

REFERENCE: D2014/13545

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To advise Council of the results of tender 2014-006 to construct the Red Hill Waste Management Facility Stage 15 Class III cell and recommend a preferred contractor.

### KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- A tender for the construction of Red Hill Waste Management Facility Stage 15 Class III Cell was advertised in the West Australian newspaper on 13 October 2014 and online at the EMRC Tenderlink website.
- Tenders closed on 3 November 2014 with four (4) submissions being received.

#### Recommendation(s)

That Council:

1. Award tender number 2014-006 – Stage 15 Landfill Cell Construction to WBHO Civil Pty Ltd for \$2,698,345.05 (ex. GST).
2. Authorise the CEO to enter into a contract, on behalf of the EMRC, with WBHO Civil Pty Ltd in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed on between the CEO and WBHO Civil Pty Ltd.
3. Authorise a 10% contingency on the contract sum for contract variations for Tender 2014-006 – Stage 15 Landfill Cell Construction.

### SOURCE OF REPORT

Director Waste Services  
Manager Engineering and Waste Services

### BACKGROUND

As part of the development of the Red Hill Waste Management Facility, new landfill cells are constructed in time to facilitate the ongoing acceptance of waste. The current cells for Class III waste (Farm Stages 1 & 2) are projected to be filled by December 2015. A new landfill cell for Class III waste is required to be built in the summer of 2014/2015. The area south of the existing green waste processing facility has been identified and is referred to as "Stage 15".

The design of the proposed Stage 15 Class III cell has been completed in-house in accordance with best practice landfill guidelines. The lining system consists of a compacted clay sub layer on top of which will be placed a geosynthetic clay liner and a high density polyethylene liner protected by a geotextile fabric. A geoelectrical leak detection system is incorporated in the system to provide for a practical method of testing the geomembranes for any perforating damage after installation. Leachate collection will be by means of polypropylene drainage cells and leachate sumps, which will in turn be covered by a geotextile cushion to ensure waste does not block the leachate drainage system.

Lateritic cap rock has been removed within the proposed footprint of the Stage 15 landfill cell and gravelly clay materials are currently being removed by EMRC Red Hill Operations to within 1.0m of the landfill design level. It is now intended to award a contract to shape the landfill cell, construct the barrier layers, the drainage layers and install the leak detection system. The contract includes all labour, plant, materials, supervision, and survey and everything else required for the construction, lining and completion of the Stage 15 landfill cell.



*Item 11.2 continued*

## REPORT

Tender 2014-006 for the construction of Red Hill Stage 15 Class III cell was advertised in the West Australian newspaper on 13 October 2014 and online at the EMRC Tenderlink website. A mandatory site briefing was held on 16 October 2014, and was attended by representatives of 16 companies. Tenders closed on 3 November 2014 and submissions were received from:

- a) B & J Catalano Pty Ltd;
- b) Ertech Pty Ltd;
- c) Tracc Civil Pty Ltd; and
- d) WBHO Civil Pty Ltd.

A detailed bill of quantities was submitted by each tenderer under six main tasks:

- Preliminary and Special Requirements;
- Earthworks;
- Lining Layers;
- Drainage;
- Miscellaneous; and
- "As Constructed" Drawings.

An evaluation panel of EMRC officers assessed the submissions on the following criteria:

Assessment Criteria	Weighting
(a) Previous experience in bulk excavation and clay lining/capping	20%
(b) Previous experience in the installation of Geosynthetic and Geocomposite materials	20%
(c) Safety Management Plan	10%
(d) Environmental Management Plan	10%
(e) Tendered price inclusive of all operating expenses	40%

After combining the weighted scores for both the qualitative criteria and price, WBHO Civil Pty Ltd represented the highest rated overall assessment.

Based on the panel's evaluation, the submission from WBHO Civil Pty Ltd demonstrated the most advantageous submission and is considered to offer the best value for money. The schedule of rates submitted by WBHO Civil amounted to an estimated total value of \$2,698,345.05 (ex. GST). The construction period is estimated to be 16 weeks.



*Item 11.2 continued*

## **STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Key Result Area 1 – Environmental Sustainability

- 1.1 To provide sustainable waste disposal operations
- 1.2 To improve regional waste management

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The cost of this tender has been budgeted in the approved 2014/2015 Budget.

## **SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS**

In order to provide sustainable waste management the provision of airspace, in advance of it being required, is essential.

## **MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS**

<b>Member Council</b>	<b>Implication Details</b>
Town of Bassendean	} Nil
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	

## ATTACHMENT(S)

Nil

## VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority



*Item 11.2 continued*

### **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That:

1. Council award tender number 2014-006 – Stage 15 Landfill Cell Construction to WBHO Civil Pty Ltd for \$2,698,345.05 (ex. GST).
2. Council authorise the CEO to enter into a contract, on behalf of the EMRC, with WBHO Civil Pty Ltd in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed on between the CEO and WBHO Civil Pty Ltd.
3. Council authorise a 10 % contingency on the contract sum for contract variations for Tender 2014-006 – Stage 15 Landfill Cell Construction.

### **TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)**

MOVED MR SULLIVAN

SECONDED MR LUTEY

That:

1. Council award tender number 2014-006 to WBHO Civil Pty Ltd based on the Schedule of Rates submitted.
2. The CEO be authorised on behalf of the EMRC to enter into a contract with WBHO Civil Pty Ltd in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed on between the CEO and WBHO Civil Pty Ltd.
3. Council authorise a 10 % contingency on the contract sum for contract variations for Tender 2014-006 – Stage 15 Landfill Cell Construction.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**



### 11.3 RED HILL OPEN DAY 2014

**REFERENCE: D2014/14019**

#### **PURPOSE OF REPORT**

To provide Council with feedback on the 2014 Red Hill Open Day and propose that the event be cancelled for future years because of low interest from the community.

#### **KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)**

- The number of attendees to the biennial Red Hill Open Day have been declining in recent years.
- A contributing factor has been the number of tours of the site provided by the EMRC's Waste Education staff and Red Hill staff on throughout the year.
- The quarterly Red Hill Community Liaison Group meetings provide an ongoing forum for the community to hear about site developments and discuss any concerns.
- To save cost and staff time to prepare and implement the biennial Open Day it is recommended that the event be cancelled.

#### **Recommendation(s)**

That Council approves the cancellation of future biennial Red Hill Open Days.

#### **SOURCE OF REPORT**

Director Waste Services

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Red Hill Open Day has been held for a number of years to provide the general public with an opportunity to view site operations and ask questions regarding the future development of the site. Up to and including 2010, the Open Day has been held annually.

At its 2 December 2010 meeting (Ref: DMDOC/139457), Council resolved

*“THAT:*

1. *THE NEXT RED HILL OPEN DAY BE HELD IN 2012 AND BIENNIALY THEREAFTER.*
2. *THE COMMUNITY GRANTS BE AWARDED AT AN ALTERNATIVE FUNCTION IN THOSE YEARS WHEN AN OPEN DAY IS NOT BEING HELD.”*



*Item 11.3 continued*

## **REPORT**

The Red Hill Open Day was held on 8 November 2014 in conjunction with the annual presentation of community grants to successful recipients.

The Open Day consisted of two bus tours of the site, displays showing landfill cell construction, site environmental management, Red Hill products including mulch, soil conditioner, clay and ferricrete and tours of the Education Centre.

The Red Hill staff make a special effort ensuring that the site is at its best for the Open Day, whilst ensuring operations are able to continue on the day. Other EMRC staff prepare invitations, advertising, develop displays and make themselves available on the day to greet guests and answer questions. The total cost, including staff costs, is in the order of \$12,000 per Open Day.

In spite of advertising in the local newspaper and personal invitations to some 180 stakeholders this year, only 55 people attended of which 8 were Community Grant recipients. These numbers have been steadily declining since 2008 when 109 people attended.

In recent years a large number of tours of the site have been provided on request throughout the year by the EMRC's Waste Education staff and Red Hill staff for community groups, school groups and other groups interested in seeing the site such that the biennial Open Day is now less of a special event. Community members also attend the quarterly Red Hill Community Liaison Group meetings to be updated on site developments and to discuss any concerns.

Due to the decline in numbers attending the Open Day, together with the other avenues to view and keep informed of site development initiatives, it is proposed that the Red Hill Open Day be cancelled for future years. This however would not preclude one off events being held on an ad hoc basis to promote significant initiatives and developments.

The annual community awards will continue to be made as a separate event.

## **STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Key Result Area 1 – Environmental Sustainability

- 1.1 To provide sustainable waste disposal operations
- 1.2 To improve regional waste management
- 1.3 To provide resource recovery and recycling solutions in partnership with member Councils

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

An amount of \$12,000 is budgeted for the Red Hill Open Day for the cost of bus hire, equipment hire, labour hire, site cleanup, giveaways and catering. This amount will be saved should the biennial event not proceed in the future.

## **SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil



*Item 11.3 continued*

**MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS**

<b>Member Council</b>	<b>Implication Details</b>
Town of Bassendean	} Nil
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	

ATTACHMENT(S)

Nil

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That Council approves the cancellation of future biennial Red Hill Open Days.

**TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)**

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR PUMPHREY

That Council approves the cancellation of future biennial Red Hill Open Days.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**



#### **11.4 ITEMS CONTAINED IN THE INFORMATION BULLETIN**

**REFERENCE: Ref: D2014/13659**

The following items are included in the Information Bulletin, which accompanies the Agenda.

##### **1. WASTE SERVICES**

1.1 COUNCIL TONNAGE COMPARISONS AS AT 31 OCTOBER 2014 (Ref: D2014/13661)

##### Discussion ensued

The CEO advised that commercial tonnages are down. The EMRC have changed the discount structure to encourage companies to start returning waste to Red Hill Waste Management Facility.

##### **RECOMMENDATION**

That the Technical Advisory Committee notes the items contained in the Information Bulletin.

##### **TAC RESOLUTION(S)**

MOVED MR RILEY

SECONDED MR SULLIVAN

THAT THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE NOTES THE ITEMS CONTAINED IN THE INFORMATION BULLETIN.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

##### **12 REPORTS OF DELEGATES**

Nil

##### **13 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE APPROVED BY THE CHAIRMAN OR PRESIDING MEMBER OR BY DECISION OF MEETING**

Nil





## **14 CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC**

### **RECOMMENDATION (Closing meeting to the public)**

That the meeting be closed to members of the public in accordance with Section 5.23 (2) (c) of the Local Government Act for the purpose of dealing with matters of a confidential nature.

### **TAC RESOLUTION**

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR SULLIVAN

THAT THE MEETING BE CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 5.23 (2) (C) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEALING WITH MATTERS OF A CONFIDENTIAL NATURE.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

### **14.1 ACQUISITION OF LAND**

**REFERENCE: D2014/13755**

The Committee considered the Confidential Item circulated with the Agenda under Separate Cover.

### **RECOMMENDATION [Meeting re-opened to the public]**

That the meeting be re-opened, the members of the public be invited to return to the meeting and the recommendations passed behind closed doors be recorded.

### **TAC RESOLUTION**

MOVED MR SULLIVAN

SECONDED MR LUTEY

THAT THE MEETING BE RE-OPENED, THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC BE INVITED TO RETURN TO THE MEETING AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS PASSED BEHIND CLOSED DOORS BE RECORDED.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

Recording of the recommendations passed behind closed doors, namely:

### **14.1 ACQUISITION OF LAND**

**REFERENCE: D2014/13755**

### **TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)**

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR RILEY

That:

1. Council by absolute majority in accordance with section 5.42 of the Local Government Act 1995, authorise the CEO in consultation with the Chairman to negotiate a final settlement with the Department of Planning for the exchange of that portion of Lot 99(1), required by the Department of Planning for the proposed Lloyd Street extension, for that portion of Lot 99(2), owned by the Department of Planning and adjacent to Lot 100 Lakes Road, Hazelmere, owned by the EMRC.
2. The report and attachments remain confidential and be certified by the Chairman and CEO.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**



## **15 FUTURE MEETINGS OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

The next meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee will be held on **Thursday 5 February 2015** at the EMRC Administration Office, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Ascot Place, 226 Great Eastern Highway, Belmont WA 6104 commencing at 4:00pm.

### **Future Meetings 2015**

Thursday	5	February	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	5	March (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	9	April (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	7	May (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	4	June (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	9	July (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	6	August (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	3	September (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	8	October (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	19	November (if required)	at	Red Hill Waste Management Facility

## **16 DECLARATION OF CLOSURE OF MEETING**

There being no further business, the Chairman declared the meeting closed at 1:23pm.