



MINUTES

**CERTIFICATION OF CONFIRMATION OF
COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

7 APRIL 2011

I, Mr Shane Purdy, hereby certify that the following minutes [pages 1 to 43] of the Meeting of **TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE** held on 7 April 2011 were confirmed at a meeting of the Committee held on 9 June 2011.



Signature

Mr Shane Purdy

Person presiding at the Committee Meeting held on 9 June 2011

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES

7 April 2011

(REF: COMMITTEES-11884)

A meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee was held at the EMRC Administration Office, 1st Floor, 226 Great Eastern Highway, BELMONT WA 6104 on **Thursday, 7 April 2011**. The meeting commenced at **4.05pm**.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	DECLARATION OF OPENING AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS	1
2	ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED	1
3	DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS	1
4	ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE CHAIRMAN OR PERSON PRESIDING WITHOUT DISCUSSION	1
5	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS	1
	<i>5.1 MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 3 FEBRUARY 2011 (Ref: Committees-11690)</i>	
6	PRESENTATIONS	2
7	ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC	2
8	BUSINESS NOT DEALT WITH FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING	2
9	REPORTS OF OFFICERS	3
	<i>9.1 PROPOSED 2011-2012 SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT (Ref: Committees-11990)</i>	3
	<i>9.2 LANDFILL PASSES (TIP PASSES) (Ref: Committees-11991)</i>	10
	<i>9.3 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE (HHW) PROGRAMME 2011-2015 (Ref: Committees-12041)</i>	13
	<i>9.4 ITEMS CONTAINED IN THE INFORMATION BULLETIN (Ref: Committees-11988)</i>	16
10	CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC	17
11	GENERAL BUSINESS	17
12	FUTURE MEETINGS OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE	17
13	DECLARATION OF CLOSURE OF MEETING	17



1 DECLARATION OF OPENING AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Chairman opened the meeting at 4.05pm.

2 ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED

Committee Members

Mr Shane Purdy (Chairman)	Director Infrastructure Services	Shire of Mundaring
Mr Doug Pearson	Director Technical Services	City of Bayswater
Mr Ric Lutey	Director Technical Services	City of Belmont
Mr Jim Coten (Deputy Chairman)	Executive Manager Operations	City of Swan
Mr Peter Schneider	Chief Executive Officer	EMRC

Apologies

Mr Mahesh Singh	Director Engineering Services	Shire of Kalamunda
Mr Simon Stewert-Dawkins	Director Operational Services	Town of Bassendean

EMRC Officers

Mr Brian Jones	Director Waste Services
Mr Stephen Fitzpatrick	Manager Project Development
Mr Brian Bushby	Manager Operations
Mr James Ladyman	Coordinator Sales and Product Development
Ms Mary-Ann Winnett	Personal Assistant to the Director Corporate Services
Ms Giulia Bono	Administration Officer (Minutes)

Deputy Committee Members - Observers

Cr Graham Pittaway	EMRC Member	City of Bayswater
--------------------	-------------	-------------------

3 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

Nil

4 ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE CHAIRMAN OR PERSON PRESIDING WITHOUT DISCUSSION

Nil

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

5.1 MINUTES OF TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 3 FEBRUARY 2011

That the Minutes of the Technical Advisory Committee meeting held on 3 February 2011, which have been distributed, be confirmed.

TAC RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED MR PEARSON SECONDED MR LUTEY

THAT THE MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 3 FEBRUARY 2011 WHICH HAVE BEEN DISTRIBUTED, BE CONFIRMED.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



6 PRESENTATIONS

Nil

7 ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

Nil

8 BUSINESS NOT DEALT WITH FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING

Nil



9.1 PROPOSED 2011-2012 SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-11990

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to provide a schedule of preliminary fees and charges so that further work on developing the 2011/2012 budget can be undertaken.

KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- It is proposed that the member Council base waste disposal fee be \$42.00/tonne (excluding GST) a 5% increase on 2010/2011.
- It is proposed that the member Council contribution to the Secondary Waste Reserve be increased by \$2.00/tonne to \$24.00 per tonne (excluding GST).
- It is proposed to increase the Waste Education Levy from \$2.00/tonne (excluding GST) to \$3.00/tonne (excluding GST).
- The cost of member Councils tip passes is proposed to be increased so that the cost of disposing the waste delivered is fully recovered.
- The commercial/general waste fee, before the application of the Landfill Levy component, is proposed to be increased by 5%.
- The fees for the disposal of green waste are proposed to be increased by 5% and rates for the disposal of green waste containing logs, tree trunks or palms introduced.
- It is proposed that the member Council fee and the commercial fee for the disposal of asbestos/asbestos cement be increased by 5%.
- It is proposed that the disposal fee for mattresses and e-waste be unchanged.
- It is proposed that the fees for the disposal of waste timber at Hazelmere be unchanged so there remains an incentive for waste generators to separate wood waste from general waste.
- It is proposed to introduce a schedule of charges for the use of the water tanker when there is a requirement to provide dust suppression and a schedule for charges for the use of plant and equipment.
- A detailed presentation of other changes to waste fees and charges is contained in the report.
- No provision for the Carbon Tax has been made as there is insufficient information available to establish its impact, if any, on waste disposal.

Recommendation(s)

The schedule of preliminary fees and charges, forming the attachment to this report, be accepted for the use in developing the draft budget for 2011/2012.

SOURCE OF REPORT

Director Waste Services

BACKGROUND

A schedule of draft fees and charges is prepared each year as the basis for the development of the EMRC budget. The schedule also provides member Council officers and commercial entities some indication of the fees and charges likely to be imposed so that they in turn can develop their budgets.



Item 9.1 continued

At its meeting held on 2 June 1999 Council adopted a methodology for setting aside funds for the proposed secondary waste processing facility (the Resource Recovery Project). The proposal adopted was for the member Council disposal fee to include a contribution of \$2.00 per tonne to a Secondary Waste Reserve and that the contribution be increased each year by \$2.00 per tonne. For 2010/2011 the member Council disposal rate of \$92.00/tonne (excluding GST) included a Secondary Waste Reserve contribution of \$22.00/tonne.

At the meeting held 2 December 2004 discounts for large volumes of waste from commercial entities was adopted.

REPORT

As the State Government increased the Landfill Levy from \$8.00/tonne to \$28.00/tonne effective 1 January 2010, no further increases in the Landfill Levy for 2011/2012 are anticipated and no allowance for an increase in the Landfill Levy has been made.

However, increases in the cost of labour and the cost of fuel are anticipated and it is proposed to increase the member Council base rate by 5% to \$42.00/tonne to ensure that these additional costs are recovered.

Due to the declining tonnages being received from member Councils and the termination of the EMRC/WMRC Agreement, as of 3 December 2011, the costs being incurred in implementing the Comprehensive Waste Education Strategy (CWES) are no longer being covered by the \$2.00/tonne Waste Education Levy. It is therefore proposed to increase the Levy to \$3.00/tonne so that the CWES is fully funded.

The member Council contribution to the Secondary Waste Reserve will be increased, as previously resolved, by \$2.00/tonne such that the new contribution will be \$24.00/tonne

Based on the above the proposed member Council disposal fee for 2011/2012 will be \$97.00/tonne excluding GST i.e. \$106.70/tonne inclusive of GST.

In order to maintain the commercial tonnages it is proposed that the increase in disposal fee for commercial/general waste be increased by an amount to cover the anticipated increases in fuel and labour costs such that the rate for 2011/2012 inclusive of GST, be \$106.10/tonne. The fee excluding GST would be \$96.45/tonne.

For non-member Local Governments it is proposed that the commercial waste fee be discounted such that the fee would be \$95.59/tonne (excluding GST).

No provision for the impact of the proposed Carbon Tax has been made as there is currently insufficient information at hand to determine if the Carbon Tax will have an impact on waste disposal to landfill.

It is proposed to increase the disposal fee for asbestos/asbestos cement for both member Councils and commercial operations by 5% but not increase the e-waste disposal fee or the mattress disposal fee.

Requests for the Waste Services Environmental Section to undertake consulting work on contaminated sites and contaminated soils in the Region have been received and a schedule of member Council and external consulting fees have been developed and are attached.

In addition to the standard handling fees it is proposed to include standard charges for plant hire – loaders, graders, tip trucks and water carts so that, in the event there are particular requirements in managing incoming waste there is a mechanism to develop non standard fees without having to take urgent items for Council to adopt a new fee.

Other fees and charges have been adjusted in line with CPI, increases in costs or to market.



Item 9.1 continued

STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Key Result Area 1 – Environmental Sustainability

- 1.1 To provide sustainable waste disposal operations
- 1.2 To improve regional waste management
- 1.3 To provide resource recovery and recycling solutions in partnership with member Councils
- 1.4 To investigate leading edge waste management practices

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS

Member Council	Implication Details
Town of Bassendean	} As outlined in the report and attachment
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	

ATTACHMENT(S)

[2011/2012 Proposed schedule of fees and charges \(Ref: Committees-12048\)](#)

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION(S)

The schedule of preliminary fees and charges, forming the attachment to this report, be accepted for the use in developing the draft budget for 2011/2012.

The Director Waste Services summarised the report.



Item 9.1 continued

TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR PEARSON

The schedule of preliminary fees and charges, forming the attachment to this report, be accepted for the use in developing the draft budget for 2011/2012.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

EASTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUNCIL
2011/2012 WASTE MANAGEMENT PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES

Description	Unit	2010/2011		2010/2011		2011/2012		2011/2012	% Inc
		Charges with no GST	Value of GST	Charges inc GST	Charges with no GST	Value of GST	Charges inc GST		
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	Exc. GST
Waste Management Charges									
Disposal Rates									
Member Councils									
	1 tonne								
Base Tipping Fee		40.00	4.00	44.00	42.00	4.20	46.20		5.00%
CWES Levy		2.00	0.20	2.20	3.00	0.30	3.30		50.00%
Secondary Waste Reserve		22.00	2.20	24.20	24.00	2.40	26.40		9.09%
Landfill Levy		28.00	2.80	30.80	28.00	2.80	30.80		0.00%
Total Member Council disposal rate - (*)		92.00	9.20	101.20	97.00	9.70	106.70		5.43%
Councils - Other									
Non-Member Local Government Councils	1 tonne	92.00	9.20	101.20	95.59	9.56	105.15		3.90%
WMRC - Domestic (*)	1 tonne	92.00	9.20	101.20	97.00	9.70	106.70		5.43%
WMRC - Commercial	1 tonne	93.18	9.32	102.50	NOT APPLICABLE				N/A
Domestic Refuse Tip Pass (Gidgegannup @ 3bags/wk)	n/a	3.64	0.36	4.00	3.64	0.36	4.00		0.00%
Council Refuse Tip Passes - Cars (up to 200kg)	n/a	16.36	1.64	18.00	19.09	1.91	21.00		16.66%
Council Refuse Tip Passes - Trailers (up to 500kg)	n/a	30.91	3.09	34.00	34.09	3.41	37.50		10.29%
General Waste									
Cars / Station Wagons	n/a	15.45	1.55	17.00	19.55	1.95	21.50		26.54%
Trailers (6 x 4)	n/a	30.91	3.09	34.00	39.09	3.91	43.00		26.46%
Trailers (6 x 4) High Sides	n/a	35.45	3.55	39.00	49.09	4.91	54.00		38.48%
Tandem/ Horse Floats (< 1 tonne)	n/a	65.45	6.55	72.00	72.73	7.27	80.00		11.12%
Vans / Utes	n/a	28.18	2.82	31.00	31.36	3.14	34.50		11.28%
Commercial (General)	1 tonne	93.18	9.32	102.50	96.45	9.65	106.10		3.51%
Minimum Commercial Charges	0.50 tonnes	45.45	4.55	50.00	48.23	4.82	53.05		6.12%
Greenwaste									
Greenwaste - Member Councils (uncontaminated)	1 tonne	32.00	3.20	35.20	33.64	3.36	37.00		5.13%
Greenwaste - Member Councils (stumps/logs/palms)	1 tonne	N/A	N/A	N/A	47.27	4.73	52.00		N/A
Greenwaste - MGB (Member Councils)	1 tonne	64.00	6.40	70.40	69.00	6.90	75.90		7.81%
Greenwaste - Commercial (uncontaminated)	1 tonne	32.00	3.20	35.20	36.36	3.64	40.00		13.63%
Greenwaste - Commercial (stumps/logs/palms)	1 tonne	N/A	N/A	N/A	50.91	5.09	56.00		N/A
Minimum uncontaminated greenwaste charge		15.00	1.50	16.50	20.00	2.00	22.00		33.33%
Greenwaste - uncontaminated (to Hazelmere)	1 tonne	46.55	4.66	51.21	52.73	5.27	58.00		13.28%
Greenwaste - shredded to EMRC specification (to Red Hill)	1 tonne	3.64	0.36	4.00	5.00	0.50	5.50		37.36%
(Cumulative Commercial & Contaminated Soils tonnages disposed in excess of 15,000 tonnes and 25,000 tonnes over financial year are subject to a reduction of \$5.00/tonne and \$10.00/tonne respectively.)									
(*) 2010/2011 - Inclusive of \$24.00 Secondary Waste Reserve and \$3.00 CWES Levy.									
Note:									
In the event that the weighbridges at Red Hill Waste Management Facility are not operational, vehicles will be charged according to their carrying capacity (in tonne) multiplied by the appropriate rate from the schedule of fees and charges according to the type of waste being disposed.									

EASTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUNCIL
2011/2012 WASTE MANAGEMENT PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES

Description	Unit	2010/2011		2010/2011		2011/2012		2011/2012	% Inc Exc. GST
		Charges with no GST \$	Value of GST \$	Charges inc GST \$	Charges with no GST \$	Value of GST \$	Charges inc GST \$		
<u>Waste Management Charges continued..</u>									
<u>Disposal Rates continued..</u>									
<u>Special Wastes</u>									
Asbestos	1 tonne	109.09	10.91	120.00	157.50	15.75	173.25		44.38%
Asbestos - Member Council residents only	1 tonne	90.91	9.09	100.00	95.45	9.55	105.00		4.99%
Asbestos - Minimum Charge		18.18	1.82	20.00	19.09	1.91	21.00		5.01%
Car Bodies - Commercial	each	18.18	1.82	20.00	22.73	2.27	25.00		25.00%
Car Bodies - Member Council residents only	each	9.09	0.91	10.00	9.09	0.91	10.00		0.00%
Quarantine Waste	1 tonne	110.00	11.00	121.00	118.18	11.82	130.00		7.44%
Burial Fee (for immediate burial requirements)	n/a	122.73	12.27	135.00	136.36	13.64	150.00		11.11%
Handling Fee (for special handling requirements)	n/a	122.73	12.27	135.00	136.36	13.64	150.00		11.11%
Tyre Disposal (off rim)	each	3.18	0.32	3.50	5.00	0.50	5.50		57.23%
Tyre Disposal (with rim)	each	5.91	0.59	6.50	6.82	0.68	7.50		15.40%
Tyre Recovery Charges (for tyres at the landfill face)	each	22.00	2.20	24.20	22.73	2.27	25.00		3.32%
Mattress disposal fee (Member Council)	each	5.00	0.50	5.50	5.00	0.50	5.50		0.00%
Mattress disposal fee (Charitable Organisations)	each	10.00	1.00	11.00	10.00	1.00	11.00		0.00%
Mattress disposal fee (Commercial)	each	13.64	1.36	15.00	13.64	1.36	15.00		0.00%
Computers, Computer Monitors or Televisions	each	9.10	0.90	10.00	9.10	0.90	10.00		0.00%
Wash Facility Fee	n/a	31.82	3.18	35.00	36.36	3.64	40.00		14.27%
Class III Waste	1 tonne	93.18	9.32	102.50	96.45	9.65	106.10		3.51%
Class III Contaminated Soil	1 tonne	78.09	7.81	85.90	89.09	8.91	98.00		14.09%
Class IV Waste	1 tonne	116.58	11.66	128.24	134.55	13.46	148.01		15.41%
Class IV Contaminated Soil	1 tonne	102.44	10.24	112.68	125.45	12.55	138.00		22.46%
Class V Waste - Concrete encapsulated drums (1000mm x 600mm dia)	each	520.00	52.00	572.00	545.45	54.55	600.00		4.89%
Class V Waste - Concrete encapsulated bulka bags (1.1m x 1.1m x 1.1m)	each	900.00	90.00	990.00	909.09	90.91	1000.00		1.01%
Administration Charge - Class III (for waste acceptance approvals)	consignment	63.64	6.36	70.00	90.91	9.09	100.00		42.85%
Administration Charge - Class IV (for waste acceptance approvals)	consignment	86.36	8.64	95.00	109.09	10.91	120.00		26.32%
<u>Hazelmere</u>									
Wood Waste (per cubic metre)									
- Grade 1	1 m3	5.00	0.50	5.50	5.00	0.50	5.50		0.00%
- Grade 2	1 m3	6.36	0.64	7.00	6.36	0.64	7.00		0.00%
- Contaminated	1 m3	13.64	1.36	15.00	13.64	1.36	15.00		0.00%
Wood Waste (per tonne)									
- Grade 1	1 tonne	50.00	5.00	55.00	50.00	5.00	55.00		0.00%
- Grade 2	1 tonne	63.64	6.36	70.00	63.64	6.36	70.00		0.00%
- Contaminated	1 tonne	136.36	13.64	150.00	136.36	13.64	150.00		0.00%
(Cumulative Commercial & Contaminated Soils tonnages disposed in excess of 15,000 tonnes and 25,000 tonnes over financial year are subject to a reduction of \$5.00/tonne and \$10.00/tonne respectively.)									

EASTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUNCIL
2011/2012 WASTE MANAGEMENT PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES

Description	Unit	2010/2011		2010/2011 Charges inc GST	2011/2012		2011/2012 Charges inc GST	% Inc Exc. GST
		Charges with no GST \$	Value of GST \$		Charges with no GST \$	Value of GST \$		
Waste Management Charges continued ..								
Sale of Materials (all ex stockpile, minimum 10 tonnes)								
Mixed clay/fill (purchaser to load)	1 tonne	0.50	0.05	0.55	0.50	0.05	0.55	0.00%
Ferricrete	1 tonne	11.00	1.10	12.10	11.00	1.10	12.10	0.00%
Manufactured Products (per cubic metre)								
Mulch Compost	1 m3	13.91	1.39	15.30	13.91	1.39	15.30	0.00%
Soil Compost	1 m3	16.36	1.64	18.00	16.36	1.64	18.00	0.00%
Coloured Chip	1 m3	30.00	3.00	33.00	30.00	3.00	33.00	0.00%
Wood Chip (unscreened secondary ground) - Hazelmere	1 m3	5.50	0.55	6.05	5.50	0.55	6.05	0.00%
EcoChip Mulch - Hazelmere	1 m3	10.91	1.09	12.00	10.91	1.09	12.00	0.00%
Wood Chip (fines) - Hazelmere	1 m3	10.91	1.09	12.00	10.91	1.09	12.00	0.00%
Manufactured Products (per tonne)								
Mulch Compost	1 tonne	23.18	2.32	25.50	23.18	2.32	25.50	0.00%
Mulch Compost (Member Council)	1 tonne	19.00	1.90	20.90	19.00	1.90	20.90	0.00%
Soil Compost	1 tonne	18.18	1.82	20.00	18.18	1.82	20.00	0.00%
Soil Compost (Member Councils)	1 tonne	15.00	1.50	16.50	15.00	1.50	16.50	0.00%
Coloured Chip	1 tonne	150.00	15.00	165.00	150.00	15.00	165.00	0.00%
Wood Chip (unscreened secondary ground) - Hazelmere	1 tonne	27.27	2.73	30.00	27.27	2.73	30.00	0.00%
EcoChip Mulch - Hazelmere	1 tonne	54.55	5.45	60.00	54.55	5.45	60.00	0.00%
Wood Chip (fines) - Hazelmere	1 tonne	54.55	5.45	45.00	54.55	5.45	45.00	0.00%
Trailer Loaded Products (per scoop)								
Soil Compost	1 scoop	9.09	0.91	10.00	9.09	0.91	10.00	0.00%
Mulch Compost	1 scoop	9.09	0.91	10.00	9.09	0.91	10.00	0.00%
EcoChip Mulch	1 scoop	9.09	0.91	10.00	9.09	0.91	10.00	0.00%
Colour Chip	1 scoop	13.64	1.36	15.00	13.64	1.36	15.00	0.00%
Ferricrete	1 scoop	9.09	0.91	10.00	9.09	0.91	10.00	0.00%

(Material purchases in excess of 200 tonnes and 1,000 over financial year are subject to a reduction of 15% and 20% respectively.)



9.2 LANDFILL PASSES (TIP PASSES)

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-11991

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of the report is to seek Council approval to restrict the use of the tip passes to general waste disposal.

KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- Tip passes are issued by several member Councils so residents can dispose of waste without having to pay at the Red Hill Facility's weighbridge.
- Member Council tip passes have, since charges for the disposal of tyres and asbestos were introduced, indicated that tip passes could not be used for the disposal of tyres or asbestos.
- Following the introduction of charges for the disposal of mattresses and e-waste some member Council residents have challenged the application of additional charges for those items being under the impression the passes covered the disposal of those items.

Recommendation(s)

That:

1. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that there is no ambiguity as to the validity of the tip passes.
2. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that, for a single axle trailer containing 350kg of waste, a single pass is required and, if there are additional charges for specific types of waste, then additional payment is required.
3. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that, for a trailer carrying in excess of 350kg and up to 700kg of waste (i.e. a tandem axle trailer) two tip passes are required and for specific types of waste, for which there are additional charges, then additional payment is required.

SOURCE OF REPORT

Director Waste Services

BACKGROUND

Red Hill Facility weighbridge staff report that, since charges for mattress and e-waste disposal were introduced, there have been disaffected member Council residents objecting to having to pay the additional charges since the tip passes issued by some member Councils do not specify what the tip passes can or cannot be used for.

REPORT

For 2010/2011 member Councils are being charged \$34.00 (including GST) for tip passes based on the average single axle trailer contain 350kg of waste. If a trailer was to contain 450kg of waste, a mattress and two items of e-waste then the income to the EMRC, under 'normal' circumstances, would be \$71.04 inclusive of GST. In order to mitigate the 'lost income' to that resulting from the additional 100kg of waste in the trailer, residents need to be aware that the tip passes are not valid for the disposal of items for which there are supplementary charges – asbestos, tyres, mattresses and e-waste nor are two tip passes valid for quantities of waste in excess of 700kg. For quantities of waste in excess of 700kg residents will be required to pay for the excess at the 'commercial/general' rate.



Item 9.2 continued

STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS

Member Council	Implication Details
Town of Bassendean	} As outlined in the report
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	

ATTACHMENT(S)

Nil

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That:

1. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that there is no ambiguity as to the validity of the tip passes.
2. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that, for a single axle trailer containing 350kg of waste, a single pass is required and, if there are additional charges for specific types of waste, then additional payment is required.
3. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that, for a trailer carrying in excess of 350kg and up to 700kg of waste (i.e. a tandem axle trailer) two tip passes are required and for specific types of waste, for which there are additional charges, then additional payment is required.

The Committee suggested that the EMRC officers provide a set of standardised wording for the tip passes to the member Councils to utilise.



Item 9.2 continued

TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)

MOVED MR COTEN

SECONDED MR PEARSON

That:

1. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that there is no ambiguity as to the validity of the tip passes.
2. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that, for a single axle trailer containing 350kg of waste, a single pass is required and, if there are additional charges for specific types of waste, then additional payment is required.
3. Member Councils be requested to word tip passes such that, for a trailer carrying in excess of 350kg and up to 700kg of waste (i.e. a tandem axle trailer) two tip passes are required and for specific types of waste, for which there are additional charges, then additional payment is required.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCIL RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED CR POWELL

SECONDED CR GODFREY

THAT:

1. MEMBER COUNCILS BE REQUESTED TO WORD TIP PASSES SUCH THAT THERE IS NO AMBIGUITY AS TO THE VALIDITY OF THE TIP PASSES.
2. MEMBER COUNCILS BE REQUESTED TO WORD TIP PASSES SUCH THAT, FOR A SINGLE AXLE TRAILER CONTAINING 350KG OF WASTE, A SINGLE PASS IS REQUIRED AND, IF THERE ARE ADDITIONAL CHARGES FOR SPECIFIC TYPES OF WASTE, THEN ADDITIONAL PAYMENT IS REQUIRED.
3. MEMBER COUNCILS BE REQUESTED TO WORD TIP PASSES SUCH THAT, FOR A TRAILER CARRYING IN EXCESS OF 350KG AND UP TO 700KG OF WASTE (I.E. A TANDEM AXLE TRAILER) TWO TIP PASSES ARE REQUIRED AND FOR SPECIFIC TYPES OF WASTE, FOR WHICH THERE ARE ADDITIONAL CHARGES, THEN ADDITIONAL PAYMENT IS REQUIRED.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



9.3 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE (HHW) PROGRAMME 2011-2015

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-12041

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of the report is to advise Council of the negotiations that have taken place regarding EMRC involvement in HHW collection and disposal programme.

KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATION(S)

- The tender for the collection and disposal of Household Hazardous Waste for 2011-2015 has been issued.
- The tender makes no provision for a tenderer to service a portion of the Perth Metropolitan Area, and accordingly, it is recommended the EMRC not submit a proposal.

Recommendation(s)

That the EMRC not submit a tender to collect and dispose of Household Hazardous Waste for the period 2011-2015.

SOURCE OF REPORT

Director Waste Services

BACKGROUND

At the meeting held 17 February 2011, Council resolved that “in principle” support be given to the EMRC being involved in the collection and disposal of HHW and that a further report on negotiations be brought back to Council.

REPORT

Notwithstanding the fact that the EMRC could provide a HHW collection and disposal service, equal to that currently being provided, at a lower cost, the tender requirement that the contractor must service all HHW depots within the Perth Metropolitan area would require the EMRC to operate outside the Region.

In that there are a number of higher priority waste management projects to be undertaken within the Region there is little merit in developing the infrastructure or employing the personnel required to undertake the collection and disposal of HHW at this time.

Whilst it is still the opinion of EMRC officers that the proposed arrangement will not deliver a sustainable programme to manage HHW in WA, it is not considered to be the EMRC's role to manage programmes for and on behalf of the Waste Authority or the DEC.

STRATEGIC/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil



Item 9.3 continued

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

MEMBER COUNCIL IMPLICATIONS

Member Council	Implication Details
Town of Bassendean	} Nil
City of Bayswater	
City of Belmont	
Shire of Kalamunda	
Shire of Mundaring	
City of Swan	

ATTACHMENT(S)

Nil

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the EMRC not submit a tender to collect and dispose of Household Hazardous Waste for the period 2011-2015.

TAC RECOMMENDATION(S)

MOVED MR LUTEY

SECONDED MR COTEN

That the EMRC not submit a tender to collect and dispose of Household Hazardous Waste for the period 2011-2015.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Cr Pule queried whether the Waste Authority was aware that the tender would have been unworkable for the EMRC and asked if there had been any discussion on the format of the tender or the feasibility of the regional councils submitting a joint tender. The Director Waste Services advised that there had been no discussion with the regional councils as they all handle HHW collections differently. The EMRC officers have written to the Waste Authority advising the proposed programme was not sustainable.

Cr Pule queried whether the EMRC could be the active partner in a joint venture with other councils and act as a passive supporter of the other councils. The Director Waste Services advised that HHW is a particularly specialised area and, while the EMRC could employ the personnel with the required the skill set, it would not want to be exposed to collecting and disposing of HHW for the metropolitan area.



Item 9.3 continued

In response to Cr Gangell's query on whether the current HHW collection on one day per year would still occur, the Director Waste Services advised that there would no longer be a programme funded by the DEC but the EMRC may consider undertaking it.

In response to Cr Gangell's query on when Council could expect a proposal to be brought back to it, the Director Waste Services stated that there would not be a separate report to be considered by Council but, in developing the 2011/2012 Budget, it is proposed to include a HHW collection for each member Council with the material collected being disposed of using the Waste Authority programme. There would be an explanation in the Budget document identifying the additional costs of undertaking the collections.



9.4 ITEMS CONTAINED IN THE INFORMATION BULLETIN

REFERENCE: COMMITTEES-11988

The following items are included in the Information Bulletin, which accompanies the Agenda.

1 WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

- 2.1 *EMRC / WMRC AGREEMENT FOR DISPOSAL OF WASTE (Ref: Committees-11700)*
- 2.2 *HAZELMERE UPDATE (Ref: Committees-11992)*
- 2.3 *EMRC/WATER CORPORATION - LIME AMENDED BIOCLAY® PROJECT
(Ref: Committees-12026)*
- 2.4 *WEST AUSTRALIAN TRANSITIONAL E-WASTE PROGRAM (WATEP)
(Ref: Committees-12036)*

RECOMMENDATION

That the Information Bulletin be noted.

TAC RESOLUTION(S)

MOVED MR COTEN

SECONDED MR PEARSON

THAT THE INFORMATION BULLETIN BE NOTED.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



10 CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

Nil

11 GENERAL BUSINESS

Nil

12 FUTURE MEETINGS OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The next meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee will be held on **Thursday 5 May 2011 (if required)** at the EMRC Administration Office, 1st Floor, Ascot Place, 226 Great Eastern Highway, Belmont WA 6104 commencing at 3.00 pm.

Future Meetings 2011

Thursday	5	May (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	9	June	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	7	July (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	4	August	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	8	September (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	6	October	at	EMRC Administration Office
Thursday	17	November (if required)	at	EMRC Administration Office

13 DECLARATION OF CLOSURE OF MEETING

There being no further business, the Chairman declared the meeting closed at 4.22pm.